arXiv:math-ph/0512065v1 20 Dec 2005

On implementability of Bogoliubov automorphisms and the white noise distribution theory for the Fermion system

Yoshihito Shimada

Graduate School of Mathematics

Kyushu University

1-10-6 Hakozaki, Fukuoka 812-8581

JAPAN

In this paper, we consider Bogoliubov automorphisms of CAR algebra and we give implementers as continuous linear operators on the white noise (test or generalized) functionals for the Fermion system with the help of the Fock expansion.

KEY WORDS: white noise calculus, Fermion system, Fock expansion, Bogoliubov automorphism, implementer

e-mail: `shimada@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to show the usefulness of white noise distribution theory for the Fermion system via implementability of Bogoliubov automorphisms.

First, we explain the Bogoliubov automorphisms. Let K be a complex vector space with an inner product or a symplectic form. Let U be a bijection on K preserving the inner product or the symplectic form, and commuting with the anti-linear involution on K. We call U a Bogoliubov transformation. Bogoliubov transformations yield automorphisms on CAR algebra or CCR algebra. (Here CAR stands for "canonical anti-commutation relations", and CCR stands for "canonical commutation relations".) These automorphisms are called Bogoliubov automorphisms.

There are many studies on implementability of Bogoliubov automorphisms. For example, H. Araki [1] (resp. Matsui and Shimada [10]) is an study of unitary implementers of Bogoliubov automorphisms for CAR algebra (resp. CCR algebra). The criterion for implementability of Bogoliubov automorphisms is well-known as the Hilbert-Schmidt condition. (See Theorem 3.5 (iii) of this article.) A. Carey and S. Ruijsenaars [3] is another example of the study on unitary implementer of Bogoliubov automorphisms. They deal with Bogoliubov automorphisms constructed from the loop group.

However, it is not enough for us to look for implementers in unitary, or bounded operators. Ruijsenaars [13] deals with Bogoliubov transformations determined by maps from $\mathbf{R}^{2n-1}(n > 1)$ to unitary matrices. In [13], Ruijsenaars shows that these automorphisms are not unitary implementable except for the trivial case, that is, almost all Bogoliubov automorphisms violate the Hilbert-Schmidt conditions. Therefore Ruijsenaars gives implementers as quadratic forms in [13].

Another studies of "extended" implementers are A. Carey and J. Palmer [2] and P. Kristensen [8]. Carey and Palmer [2] gives implementers as unbounded linear operators and Kristensen [8] realizes implementers as linear operators on distributions.

In this paper, we consider Bogoliubov automorphisms determined by one particle representation of gauge group $C^\infty(\mathbf{T}^{2n-1}, SO(2))$, $n \geq 1$, and we give implementers in terms of the white noise distribution theory for the Fermion system.

Next, we describe the white noise calculus for the Fermion system. Our white noise calculus is the theory for (test or generalized) functionals on the infinite dimensional space, for continuous linear operators on these functionals. The white noise distribution theory for the Boson system was introduced by T. Hida in 1975 and is applied to various fields, for example, stochastic differential equations, harmonic analysis on the infinite dimensional space, (infinite dimensional) group representation theory, topology, mathematical physics, and so forth. In particular, from the viewpoint of the operator theory, the white noise distribution theory has the powerful tool called the Fock expansion. The Fock expansion is the series of integral kernel operators for any continuous linear operators on the white noise (test or generalized) functionals. For example, the Fock expansion is applied to determining the rotation invariant operators on the white noise test functionals [12], and proving irreducibility of energy representation of gauge group [14].

The white noise calculus for the Fermion system is discussed in [15] and the author shows the Fock expansion for the Fermion system, and as we said at the beginning of this section, we show usefulness of the Fock expansion for the Fermion system through the discussion for implementability of Bogoliubov automorphisms.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the white noise distribution theory for the Fermion system. In section 3, we define Bogoliubov automorphisms and we give the criterion for implementability of Bogoliubov automorphisms. This criterion is the main theorem of this paper. In section 4, we prove the main theorem of this paper and we obtain implementer by direct computation with the help of the Fock expansion for the Fermion system.

In section 5, we give examples of Bogoliubov automorphisms and see implementability of these Bogoliubov automorphisms. Here we deal with Bogoliubov automorphisms determined by one particle representation of gauge algebra $C^\infty(\mathbf{T}^{2n-1}, so(2))$, $n \geq 1$.

2 White noise distribution theory

In this section, we review the white noise calculus for the Fermion system.

Definition 2.1. Let H be a complex Hilbert space with an inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_0$. Let A be a self-adjoint operator defined on a dense domain $D(A)$. Let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbf{N}}$ be eigenvalues of A and $\{e_j\}_{j\in\mathbf{N}}$ be normalized eigenvectors for $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbf{N}}$, i.e., $Ae_j = \lambda_j e_j$, $j \in \mathbf{N}$. Moreover, we also assume the following two conditions :

(i) $\{e_j\}_{j\in\mathbf{N}}$ is a C.O.N.S. of H,

(ii) Multiplicity of $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbf{N}}$ is finite and $1 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \to \infty$.

Then we have the following properties.

(1) For $p \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $x,\, y \in D(A^p)$, let $(x, y)_p := (A^p x, A^p y)_0$. Then $(\cdot,\cdot)_p$ is an inner product on $D(A^p)$. Moreover, $D(A^p)$ is complete with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_p$, that is, the pair $E_p := (D(A^p), |\cdot|_p)$ is a Hilbert space.

(2) For $q \geq p \geq 0$, let $j_{p,q} : E_q \to E_p$ be the inclusion map. Then every inclusion map is continuous and has a dense image. Then $\{E_p, j_{p,q}\}$ is a reduced projective system.

(3) A standard countable Hilbert space

$$E := \lim_{\leftarrow} E_p = \bigcap_{p\geq 0} E_p$$

constructed from the pair (H, A) is a reflexive Fréchet space. We call E a CH-space simply.

(4) From (3), we have $E^* = \lim\limits_{\rightarrow} E_p^*$ as a topological vector space, i.e. the strong topology on E^* and the inductive topology on $\lim\limits_{\rightarrow} E_p^*$ coincide.

(5) Let $p \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $(x, y)_{-p} := (A^{-p}x, A^{-p}y)_0$. Then $(\cdot,\cdot)_{-p}$ is an inner product on H.

(6) For $p \geq 0$, let E_{-p} be the completion of H with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_{-p}$. For, $q \geq p \geq 0$, we can consider the inclusion map $i_{-q,-p} : E_{-p} \to E_{-q}$, and then $\{E_{-p}, i_{-q,-p}\}$ is an inductive system. Moreover, E_{-p} and E_p^* are anti-linear isomorphic and isometric. Thus, from (4), we have

$$E^* = \lim_{\rightarrow} E_{-p} = \bigcup_{p\geq 0} E_{-p}.$$

Furthermore, we require for the operator A that there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $A^{-\alpha}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt class operator, namely

$$\delta^2 := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-2\alpha} < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

From this condition, E (resp. E^*) is a nuclear space. Thus we can define the π-tensor topology $E \otimes_\pi E$ (resp. $E^* \otimes_\pi E^*$) of E (resp. E^*). If there is no danger of confusion, we will use the notation $E \otimes E$ (resp. $E^* \otimes E^*$) simply.

We denote the canonical bilinear form on $E^* \times E$ by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We have the following natural relation between the canonical bilinear form on $E^* \times E$ and the inner product on H :

$$\langle f, g \rangle = (Jf, g)_0$$

for all $f \in H$ and $g \in E$. $Jf \in H$ is the complex conjugate of $f \in H$.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a Hilbert space, or a CH-space.

(1) Let $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in X$. We define the anti-symmetrization $\mathcal{A}_n(g_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes g_n)$ of $g_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes g_n \in H^{\otimes n}$ as follows.

$$\mathcal{A}_n(g_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes g_n) := g_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge g_n := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma) g_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes g_{\sigma(n)},$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is the set of all permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\mathrm{sign}(\sigma)$ is the signature of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.

(2) If $f \in X^{\otimes n}$ satisfies $\mathcal{A}_n(f) = f$, then we call f anti-symmetric. We denote the set of all anti-symmetric elements of $X^{\otimes n}$ by $X^{\wedge n}$ and we call $X^{\wedge n}$ the n-th anti-symmetric tensor of X. If X is a Hilbert space, then $\mathcal{A}_n$ is a projection from $X^{\otimes n}$ to $X^{\wedge n}$.

(3) Let X be a CH-space. For $F \in (X^{\otimes n})^*$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, let F^σ be an element of $(X^{\otimes n})^*$ satisfying

$$\langle F^\sigma, g_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes g_n \rangle := \left\langle F, g_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes g_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \right\rangle, \quad g_i \in X.$$

Then we define the anti-symmetrization $\mathcal{A}_n(F)$ as follows.

$$\mathcal{A}_n(F) := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma) F^\sigma.$$

(4) If $F \in (X^{\otimes n})^*$ satisfies $\mathcal{A}_n(F) = F$, we call F anti-symmetric. We denote the set of all anti-symmetric elements of $(X^{\otimes n})^*$ by $(X^{\wedge n})^*$.

From the above discussion, we obtain a Gelfand triple :

$$E \subset H \subset E^*.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be a Hilbert space.*

(1) *Let*

$$(f_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes f_n, g_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes g_n)_0 := (f_1, g_1)_0 \ldots (f_n, g_n)$$

for f_i, $g_j \in H$, $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then

$$(f_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge f_n, g_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge g_n)_0 = \frac{1}{n!} \det\left((f_i, g_j)_0 \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}.$$

Moreover $\mathcal{A}_n$ is a projection with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$.

(2) *For $f \in H^{\wedge n}$ and $g \in H^{\wedge m}$, we have*

$$|f \wedge g|_0 \leq |f|_0 \, |g|_0 \, .$$

Next, we define the Fermion Fock space and the second quantization of a linear operator.

Definition 2.4. Let H be a Hilbert space and A be a linear operator on H.

(1) Let

$$\Gamma(H) := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n \mid \phi_n \in H^{\wedge n}, \ \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n \right\|_0^2 := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! |\phi_n|_0^{\infty} < +\infty \right\}$$

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n \right)_0 := \sum_{n \geq 0} n! (\phi_n, \psi_n)_0$$

Then we call $\Gamma(H)$ the Fermion Fock space. The Fermion Fock space $\Gamma(H)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_0$. Moreover let

$$\Gamma^+(H) := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{2n} \,|\, \phi_{2n} \in H^{\wedge(2n)}, \ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n)! \, |\phi_{2n}|_0^2 < +\infty \right\},$$

$$\Gamma^-(H) := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_{2n+1} \,|\, \phi_{2n+1} \in H^{\wedge(2n+1)}, \ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1)! \, |\phi_{2n+1}|_0^2 < +\infty \right\}$$

Then we call $\Gamma^+(H)$ (resp. $\Gamma^-(H)$) the even part of the Fermion Fock space (resp. the odd part of the Fermion Fock space).

(2) We call

$$\Gamma(A) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{\otimes n}$$

the second quantization of A. Let

$$\Gamma^+(A) := \Gamma(A)|\Gamma^+(H), \quad \Gamma^-(A) := \Gamma(A)|\Gamma^-(H).$$

Definition 2.5. Let H be a complex Hilbert space and A be a self-adjoint operator on H satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) in lemma 2.1 and (2.1). Then we can define a CH-space $\mathcal{E}$ constructed from $(\Gamma(H), \Gamma(A))$ and we obtain a Gelfand triple :

$$\mathcal{E} \subset \Gamma(H) \subset \mathcal{E}^*.$$

Moreover, let $\mathcal{E}_+$(resp. $\mathcal{E}_-$) be a CH-space constructed from $(\Gamma^+(H), \Gamma^+(A))$ (resp. $(\Gamma^-(H), \Gamma^-(A))$) and we obtain Gelfand triples :

$$\mathcal{E}_+ \subset \Gamma^+(H) \subset \mathcal{E}_+^*, \quad \mathcal{E}_- \subset \Gamma^-(H) \subset \mathcal{E}_-^*.$$

Then an element of $\mathcal{E}$ (or $\mathcal{E}_+$, $\mathcal{E}_-$) is called a test (white noise) functional and an element of $\mathcal{E}^*$(or $\mathcal{E}_+^*$, $\mathcal{E}_-^*$) is called a generalized (white noise) functional.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\phi := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n \in \Gamma(H)$, $\phi_n \in H^{\wedge n}$. Then $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $\phi_n \in E^{\wedge n}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Moreover, it holds that*

$$\|\phi\|_p^2 := \|\Gamma(A)^p \phi\|_0^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! |\phi_n|_p^2 < +\infty$$

for all $p \geq 0$. We can also show this statement in case of $\phi \in \Gamma^+(H)$ and $\phi \in \Gamma^-(H)$.

Let H be a Hilbert space. Then $\zeta \wedge \eta = \eta \wedge \zeta$ for $\zeta, \eta \in H^{\wedge 2}$. Thus we can define $\zeta^{\wedge n}$, $n \geq 0$ for all $\zeta \in H^{\wedge 2}$. This shows that a pair of Fermions behaves like a Boson.

In order to discuss integral kernel operators, we define a contraction of tensor product.

Definition 2.7. Let H be a complex Hilbert space and A be a self-adjoint operator on H satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) in lemma 2.1 and (2.1). Let

$$e(\mathbf{i}) := e_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_l}, \quad \mathbf{i} := (i_1, \ldots, i_l) \in \mathbf{N}^l.$$

(1) For $F \in \left(E^{\otimes(l+m)}\right)^*$, let

$$|F|^2_{l,m;p,q} := \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} |\langle F, e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j})\rangle|^2 \, |e(\mathbf{i})|^2_p \, |e(\mathbf{j})|^2_q$$

where $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ run the whole $\mathbf{N}^l$ and $\mathbf{N}^m$ respectively.

(2) For $F \in \left(E^{\otimes(l+m)}\right)^*$ and $g \in E^{\otimes(m+n)}$, we define a left contraction $F \otimes^m g \in \left(E^{\otimes(l+n)}\right)^*$ of F and g as follows.

$$F \otimes^m g := \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \langle F, e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j})\rangle \langle g, e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{k})\rangle \right) e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{k})$$

where $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j}$, and $\mathbf{k}$ run the whole $\mathbf{N}^m$, $\mathbf{N}^l$, and $\mathbf{N}^n$ respectively. Similarly, we define a right contraction $F \otimes_m g \in \left(E^{\otimes(l+n)}\right)^*$ of F and g as follows.

$$F \otimes_m g := \sum_{\mathbf{j},\mathbf{k}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \langle F, e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{i})\rangle \langle g, e(\mathbf{k}) \otimes e(\mathbf{i})\rangle \right) e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{k})$$

where $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j}$, and $\mathbf{k}$ run the whole $\mathbf{N}^m$, $\mathbf{N}^l$, and $\mathbf{N}^n$ respectively.

Lemma 2.8. *For $F \in (E^{\otimes(l+m)})^*$ and $g \in E^{\otimes(l+n)}$, put*

$$F \wedge^m g := \mathcal{A}_{l+n}(F \otimes^m g),$$
$$F \wedge_m g := \mathcal{A}_{l+n}(F \otimes_m g).$$

Then $F \wedge^m g$ and $F \wedge_m g$ are elements of $(E^{\wedge(l+n)})^*$ and satisfy

$$F \wedge^m g = (-1)^{m(l+n)} F \wedge_m g.$$

Thus the left contraction $F \wedge^m g$ coincides with the right contraction $F \wedge_m g$ if m is an even number.

Before defining integral kernel operators, we need to mention continuity of linear operators on locally convex spaces.

Lemma 2.9. *Let X and Y be locally convex spaces with seminorms $\{|\cdot|_{X,q}\}_{q \in Q}$ and $\{|\cdot|_{Y,p}\}_{p \in P}$ respectively. Let $\mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ be the set of all continuous linear operators from X to Y. Then a linear operator V from X to Y is in $V \in \mathcal{L}(X,Y)$ if and only if, for any $p \in P$, there exist $q \in Q$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$|Vx|_{Y,p} \leq C|x|_{X,q}, \quad x \in X.$$

Now we define an integral kernel operator.

Proposition 2.10 (Integral kernel operator). *Let* $\kappa \in ((E^{\wedge 2})^{\otimes(l+m)})^*$. *For* $\phi := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi_n \in \mathcal{E}_+$, $\phi_n \in E^{\wedge(2n)}$, *let*

$$\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n+2m)!}{(2n)!} \kappa \wedge_{2m} \phi_{m+n}.$$

Then $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_+, \mathcal{E}_+^*)$. *We call* $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)$ *an integral kernel operator with a kernel distribution* κ. *This integral kernel operator satisfies the following estimation :*

$$\|\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\phi\|_p \le \rho^{-\frac{r}{2}}((2l)^{2l}(2m)^{2m})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\rho^{-\frac{r}{2}}}{-re\log\rho} \right)^{l+m} |f|_{2l,2m;p,-q} \|\phi\|_{\max\{p,q\}+r}$$

for any $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_+$.

Note that the following map

$$((E^{\wedge 2})^{\otimes(l+m)})^* \ni \kappa \mapsto \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_+, \mathcal{E}_+^*)$$

is not injective. We define

$$\mathcal{A}_{l,m}(\kappa) := \frac{1}{(2l)!(2m)!} \sum_{\sigma=(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)\in\mathfrak{S}_{2l}\times\mathfrak{S}_{2m}} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma_1)\mathrm{sign}(\sigma_2)\kappa^{\sigma},$$

where κ^{σ} is defined in definition 2.2 (3). Put

$$(E^{\otimes(l+m)})^*_{\mathrm{alt}(l,m)} := \{\kappa \in (E^{\otimes(l+m)})^* \mid \mathcal{A}_{l,m}(\kappa) = \kappa\}.$$

("alt" stands for "alternative".)

Lemma 2.11. *The map*

$$((E^{\wedge 2})^{\otimes(l+m)})^*_{\mathrm{alt}(2l,2m)} \ni \kappa \mapsto \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_+, \mathcal{E}_+^*)$$

is injective. Moreover, for $\kappa \in ((E^{\wedge 2})^{\otimes(l+m)})^*_{\mathrm{alt}(2l,2m)}$ *and* $\kappa \in ((E^{\wedge 2})^{\otimes(l'+m')})^*_{\mathrm{alt}(2l',2m')}$, *if* $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) = \Xi_{l',m'}(\kappa')$, *then* $l = l'$, $m = m'$, *and* $\mathcal{A}_{2l,2m}(\kappa) = \mathcal{A}_{2l,2m}(\kappa')$.

Proposition 2.12 (Fock expansion). *For any* $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_+, \mathcal{E}_+^*)$, *there exists a unique* $\{\kappa_{l,m}\}_{l,m=0}^{\infty}$, $\kappa_{l,m} \in ((E^{\wedge 2})^{\otimes(l+m)})^*_{\mathrm{alt}(2l,2m)}$ *such that*

$$\Xi\phi = \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})\phi, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{E}_+ \tag{2.2}$$

where the right hand side of (2.2) converges in $\mathcal{E}_+^*$.

If $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_+, \mathcal{E}_+)$, *then*

$$\kappa_{l,m} \in E^{\wedge(2l)} \otimes \left(E^{\wedge(2m)}\right)^*, \quad l, m \ge 0$$

and the right hand side of (2.2) converges in $\mathcal{E}_+$.

Now we remark

Lemma 2.13. $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_+, \mathcal{E}_+^*)$ *is extended to an element of* $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma^+(H), \mathcal{E}_+^*)$ *if and only if* $\kappa_{l,m}$ *is in* $(E^{\wedge(2l)})^* \otimes H^{\wedge(2m)}$.

Now we extend results of proposition 2.12 to all elements of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^*)$. In order to make the white noise calculus for the Fermion system, we mention properties for creation and annihilation operators for the Fermion system.

Definition 2.14 (Creation and annihilation operator).

(1) For $f \in E^*$, let

$$a(f) : \mathcal{E} \ni \phi = (\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mapsto a(f)\phi \in \mathcal{E},$$
$$a(f)\phi_0 = 0,$$
$$a(f)\phi_n := n\mathcal{A}_{n-1}(f \otimes_1 \phi_n), \quad n \geq 1.$$

$a(f)$ is a map from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{E}$ and is a continuous map. (Continuity of $a(f)$ is seen in the following lemma.) We call $a(f)$ an annihilation operator.

(2) For $f \in E^*$, we define a creation operator $a^\dagger(f) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}^*, \mathcal{E}^*)$ as follows :

$$a^\dagger(f) : \mathcal{E}^* \ni \phi = (\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mapsto a^\dagger(f)\phi \in \mathcal{E}^*,$$
$$a^\dagger(f)\phi_n := \mathcal{A}_{n+1}(f \otimes \phi_n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

(Continuity of $a^\dagger(f)$ is seen in the following lemma.)

Lemma 2.15. *Let*

$$a_{(l,m)}(f) := \begin{cases} a^\dagger(f), & \text{if } (l,m) = (1,0) \\ a(f), & \text{if } (l,m) = (0,1). \end{cases}$$

and $p,\ q \in \mathbf{R}$, $r > 0$, *and* $f \in E^*$. *Then*

$$\left\| a_{(l,m)}(f)\phi \right\|_p \leq \left(\frac{\rho^{-2r}}{-2re \log \rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |f|_{m,l;-(q+r),p} \, \|\phi\|_{\max\{p,q\}+r}, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Thus we have the following properties (1)–(3). Let σ *be* $+$, $-$, *or a blank.*

(1) $a(f)|_{\mathcal{E}_\sigma} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_\sigma, \mathcal{E}_{-\sigma})$ *for* $f \in E^*$,

(2) $a^\dagger(f)|_{\mathcal{E}_\sigma} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_\sigma, \mathcal{E}_{-\sigma})$ *for* $f \in E$,

(3) $a^\dagger(f)|_{\mathcal{E}^*_\sigma} = a(f)^*|_{\mathcal{E}^*_\sigma} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}^*_\sigma, \mathcal{E}^*_{-\sigma})$ *for* $f \in E^*$,

Creation and annihilation operators satisfy the following commutation relation, called canonical anti-commutation relations.

Lemma 2.16. *For* $f \in E$ *and* $g \in E^*$, *we have*

$$\{a^\dagger(f), a(g)\}\phi = \langle g, f \rangle \phi$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_\sigma$. *(*$\sigma$ *is* $+$, $-$, *or a blank.) Moreover,*

$$(a^\dagger(f) + a(Jf))^2\phi = \phi$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_\sigma$ *and* $f \in E$ *with* $(f,f)_K = 1$. *Here* $Jf \in E$ *is the complex conjugate of* $f \in E$.

Put

$$d\Gamma(A)^{(n)} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes A \otimes 1^{\otimes(n-i)}$$

for a linear operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(E, E^*)$.

Lemma 2.17.

(1) *For $f,\, g \in E^*$, we have*

$$a^\dagger(f)a^\dagger(g)|_{\mathcal{E}_+} = \Xi_{1,0}(f \wedge g), \tag{2.3}$$

$$a(f)a(g)|_{\mathcal{E}_+} = \Xi_{0,1}(f \wedge g). \tag{2.4}$$

(2) *Let $(f \otimes g)h := \langle g, h\rangle\, f$ for $f,\, g \in E^*$, and $h \in E$. Then we have*

$$a^\dagger(f)a(g)|_{\mathcal{E}_+} = \Xi_{1,1}((1_2 \otimes d\Gamma(f \otimes g)^{(2)})^*\tau) \tag{2.5}$$

where $\tau \in (E^{\wedge 2}) \otimes (E^{\wedge 2})^*$ is defined by $\tau(\zeta, \eta) := \langle \zeta, \eta\rangle$ for all $\zeta \in (E^{\wedge 2})^*$ and $\eta \in E^{\wedge 2}$.

Let $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_+, \mathcal{E}_+^*)$ be an integral kernel operator with a kernel distribution κ and f, g be elements of E. Put

$$W(f) := a^\dagger(f) + a(Jf)$$

for $f \in E$ satisfying $(f, f)_K = 1$. Note that $W(f)$ is in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}^*, \mathcal{E}^*)$.(See Lemma 3.3) Then we also call all operators

$$\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)W(f), \quad W(f)\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa), \quad W(f)\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)W(f)$$

integral kernel operators for the sake of convenience.

Proposition 2.18. *Every $\Xi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^*)$ is realized as a series of integral kernel operators.*

Proof. See Theorem 5.5 of [15]. ▮

3 Bogoliubov automorphisms and implementability conditions

We apply the white noise calculus to the representation theory of Bogoliubov transformations.

We will begin by defining the canonical anti-commutation relations algebra (referred to as CAR algebra henceforth).

Let K be a Hilbert space and $(f, g)_K$ be the inner product of $f \in K$ and $g \in K$. Let Γ be an anti-unitary involution on K. Let $\mathfrak{A}(K, \Gamma)$ be a C^*-algebra generated by $B(f)$ $(f \in K)$ satisfying the following commutation relations :

$$\{B(f)^\dagger, B(g)\} = (f, g)_K 1, \quad B(f)^\dagger = B(\Gamma f)$$

for any $f,\, g \in K$. († is the star operation of C^*-algebra $\mathfrak{A}(K, \Gamma)$.) We call $\mathfrak{A}(K, \Gamma)$ the (self-dual) CAR algebra.

We define a positive energy Fock representation of the CAR algebra.

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a self-adjoint operator on K and P be a projection on K commuting with $\mathfrak{h}$ and satisfying $\Gamma P \Gamma = 1 - P$. Assume that $A := \mathfrak{h}P$ has properties (i) and (ii) of definition 2.1.

In order to discuss the positive energy Fock representation of the CAR algebra $\mathfrak{A}(K, \Gamma)$, we divide K into the positive energy part P_+K and the negative energy part P_-K. Let $H := P_+K$ and

$$(f, g)_0 := (f, g)_K, \quad f,\, g \in H$$

be the inner product on H. Let J be an anti-linear isomorphism on K commuting with P, i.e., J gives a complex structure of H.

Definition 3.1. Let π_{P_+} be the map *-homomorphism π_P from $\mathfrak{A}(K,\Gamma)$ to $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H))$ satisfying

$$\pi_{P_+}(B(f)) := a^\dagger(P_+ f) + a(JP_+\Gamma f)$$

for any $f \in K$. We call π_{P_+} a positive energy Fock representation of the CAR algebra with respect to P_+. (See (2.15) of [1].)

Definition 3.2.

(1) Let $U \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ be a unitary operator commuting with Γ. Then we call U a Bogoliubov transformation for the pair (K,Γ). Then we use $O(K,\Gamma)$ to denote all Bogoliubov transformations.

(2) Put

$$o(K,\Gamma) := \{X \in \mathcal{L}(K) \,|\, \exp(\sqrt{-1}tX) \in O(K,\Gamma) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

Then $X \in o(K,\Gamma)$ if and only if $X \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ satisfies $X^\dagger = X$ and $\Gamma X \Gamma = -X$, where $X^\dagger$ is defined by $(X^\dagger f, g)_K = (f, Xg)_K$ for all f, $g \in K$.

(3) $o(K,\Gamma)$ is a Lie algebra with respect to $\sqrt{-1}[\cdot,\cdot]$. Let $o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$ be the set of all $A \in o(K,\Gamma)$ with finite rank. $o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$ is a Lie subalgebra of $o(K,\Gamma)$.

(4) Let E be a CH-space constructed from (H,A). (See Definition 2.5.) Let

$$o(K,\Gamma;E) := \{X \in o(K,\Gamma) \,|\, X(E \oplus \Gamma E) \subset (E \oplus \Gamma E)\},$$
$$o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma;E) := o(K,\Gamma;E) \cap o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma).$$

Then $o(K,\Gamma;E)$ is a subalgebra of $o(K,\Gamma)$ and $o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma;E)$ is a subalgebra of $o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$.

(5) Consider a map

$$\mathrm{ad}(X) : o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma) \to o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma),$$
$$\mathrm{ad}(X)(Y) := [X,Y], \quad Y \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma).$$

Then "ad" is a Lie algebra homomorphism from $o(K,\Gamma)$ to $\mathcal{L}(o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma))$.

(6) Let Y be a finite rank operator on K satisfying $Yh = \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i,h)_K f_i$ for any $h \in K$. Then

$$q(Y) := \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n B(f_i)B(\Gamma g_i).$$

$q(Y)$ does not depend on a choice of f_i, $g_i \in K$, namely q is well-defined as a map from finite rank operators on K to CAR algebra $\mathfrak{A}(K,\Gamma)$. (See Theorem 4.4. of [1].)

(7) q is a Lie algebra homomorphism from $o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$ to $\mathfrak{A}(K,\Gamma)$. "q" stands for "quantization" of Lie algebra $o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$. Thus $q_{P_+} := \pi_{P_+} \circ q$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism from $o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$ to $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H))$. In other words, q_{P_+} is a Lie algebra representation of $o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$ on the Fermion Fock space $\Gamma(H)$.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a CH-space constructed from ($\Gamma(H)$,$\Gamma(A)$). We remark that

Lemma 3.3. *We use the same notation* $\pi_{P_+}(B(x)))$ *(resp.* $q_{P_+}(X)$*) to denote the extension of* $\pi_{P_+}(B(x)))$ *(resp.* $q_{P_+}(X)$*) to* $\Gamma(H)$ *or* $\mathcal{E}^*$.

(1) $\pi_{P_+}(B(x)))$ *is in* $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H))$ *for* $x \in K$, *and* $\pi_{P_+}(B(x)))$ *is in* $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ *and* $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}^*,\mathcal{E}^*)$ *for* $x \in E \oplus \Gamma E$.

(2) *$q_{P_+}(X)$ is in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\Gamma(H))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H),\mathcal{E}^*)$ for $X \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$, and $q_{P_+}(X)$ is in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}^*,\mathcal{E}^*)$ for $X \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma;E)$.*

Proof. (1) $\pi_{P_+}(B(x))) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ is immediate from Lemma 2.15, and $\pi_{P_+}(B(x))) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}^*,\mathcal{E}^*)$ is immediate from

$$\pi_{P_+}(B(x))) = a^\dagger(P_+x) + (a^\dagger(JP_+\Gamma x)|_{\mathcal{E}})^*$$

on $\mathcal{E}^*$. Due to (1), we can check (2) easily. ∎

Now we give the definition of implementability of Bogoliubov automorphisms at Lie algebraic level, that is,

Definition 3.4.

(1) Let $X \in o(K,\Gamma;E)$. We call $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ implementable as $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}^*)$ if there exists implementer $M_X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}^*)$ satisfying

$$M_X q_{P_+}(Y)\phi - q_{P_+}(Y)M_X\phi = q_{P_+}(\mathrm{ad}(X)(Y))\phi \tag{3.1}$$

for all $Y \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma;E)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$. We denote the left hand side of (3.1) by $[M_X, q_{P_+}(Y)]$. (Note that the use of the notation of the commutator [,] is only for convenience.)

(2) Let $X \in o(K,\Gamma;E)$. We call $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ implementable as $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ if there exists $M_X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ satisfying (3.1) for all $Y \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma;E)$.

(3) Let $X \in o(K,\Gamma)$. We call $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ implementable as $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H),\mathcal{E}^*)$ if there exists $M_X \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H),\mathcal{E}^*)$ satisfying (3.1) for all $Y \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$.

Remark that $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ is automatically implementable as $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}^*)$ when $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ is implementable as $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$. Moreover, $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ is also implementable as $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}^*)$ if $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ is implementable as $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H),\mathcal{E}^*)$.

Our purpose of this section is to give necessary and sufficient conditions for existence of M_X given in definition 3.4 in terms of $X \in o(K,\Gamma)$. We now say our result of this section.

Theorem 3.5.

(1) *Let $X \in o(K,\Gamma;E)$. There exists $M_X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}^*)$ satisfying (3.1) for all $A \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma;E)$ if and only if there exists $p > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \lambda_n^{-2p} |P_+XP_-\Gamma e_n|_{-p}^2 < \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

(2) *Let $X \in o(K,\Gamma;E)$. There exists $M_X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E})$ satisfying (3.1) for all $A \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma;E)$ if and only if $X \in o(K,\Gamma;E)$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbf{N}} \lambda_n^{2p} |P_+XP_-\Gamma e_n|_{p}^2 < \infty \tag{3.3}$$

for any $p > 0$.

(3) *Let $X \in o(K,\Gamma)$. There exists $M_X \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H),\mathcal{E}^*)$ satisfying (3.1) for all $A \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$ if and only if P_+XP_- is a Hilbert-Schmidt class operator on K, that is,*

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbf{N}} |P_+XP_-\Gamma e_n|_0^2 < \infty. \tag{3.4}$$

In (1), (2), and (3), all M_X are uniquely determined except for constant numbers.

We have some facts that should be noticed before we prove theorem 3.5.

First, we can also show (3.1) for $Y \in \mathbf{C} \otimes o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K, \Gamma)$ by linearity of q. Now let

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(x,y)z &:= \frac{1}{2}\left\{(y,z)_K x + (x,z)_K y - (\Gamma y, z)_K \Gamma x - (\Gamma x, z)_K \Gamma y\right\}, \\ H_2(x,y)z &:= \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\left\{(x,z)_K y - (y,z)_K x + (\Gamma x, z)_K \Gamma y - (\Gamma y, z)_K \Gamma x\right\} \end{aligned}$$

for x, $y \in K$ and $z \in K$. Then $H_1(x,y)$, $H_2(x,y) \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K, \Gamma)$. If

$$Y = \frac{1}{2}\left(H_1(x, \Gamma y) + \sqrt{-1} H_2(x, \Gamma y)\right) \in \mathbf{C} \otimes o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K, \Gamma) \tag{3.5}$$

for x, $y \in K$, then (3.1) implies

$$[M_X, \pi_{P_+}(B(x)B(y))] = \pi_{P_+}(B(Xx)B(y) + B(x)B(Xy)) \tag{3.6}$$

for x, $y \in K$.

Secondly, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that M_X is a linear operator satisfying (3.1). Then M_X satisfies*

$$M_X \mathcal{E}_\sigma^* \subset \mathcal{E}_\sigma^*, \quad \sigma \in \{+, -\}.$$

This lemma is easily checked. Let $M_X^\sigma := M_X|_{\mathcal{E}_\sigma^*}$, $\sigma \in \{+,-\}$. From the above two facts, (3.6) is decomposed into the even part and the odd part, i.e., we have

$$[M_X^\sigma, q_{P_+}(A)]|_{\mathcal{E}_\sigma} = q_{P_+}(\mathrm{ad}(X)(A))|_{\mathcal{E}_\sigma} \tag{3.7}$$

for x, $y \in K$ and $\sigma \in \{+,-\}$. Hence theorem 3.5 are equivalent to the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7.

(1) *Let $X \in o(K, \Gamma; E)$. There exists $M_X^\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_\sigma, \mathcal{E}_\sigma^*)$ satisfying (3.7) for all $A \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K, \Gamma; E)$ if and only if there exists $p > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \lambda_n^{-2p} |P_+ X P_- \Gamma e_n|_{-p}^2 < \infty.$$

(2) *Let $X \in o(K, \Gamma; E)$. There exists $M_X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_\sigma, \mathcal{E}_\sigma)$ satisfying (3.7) for all $A \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K, \Gamma; E)$ if and only if $X \in o(K, \Gamma; E)$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \lambda_n^{2p} |P_+ X P_- \Gamma e_n|_{p}^2 < \infty$$

for any $p > 0$.

(3) *Let $X \in o(K, \Gamma)$. There exists $M_X^\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma^\sigma(H), \mathcal{E}_\sigma^*)$ satisfying (3.7) for all $A \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K, \Gamma)$ if and only if $P_+ X P_-$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt class operator on K, that is,*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |P_+ X P_- \Gamma e_n|_0^2 < \infty.$$

Thirdly, we describe the composition of two integral kernel operators. We define an element $S^{l}_{m-k}{}^{l'-k}_{m'}(\kappa \circ_k \lambda)$ of $\left(E_+^{\otimes(l+l'+m+m'-2k)}\right)^*$ as follows :

$$S^{l}_{m-k}{}^{l'-k}_{m'}(\kappa \circ_k \lambda) := \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'} \sum_{\mathbf{h}} \langle \kappa, e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{h}) \rangle \\ \times \left\langle \lambda, e(\mathbf{h}) \otimes e(\mathbf{i}') \otimes e(\mathbf{j}') \right\rangle e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes e(\mathbf{i}') \otimes e(\mathbf{j}) \otimes e(\mathbf{j}'),$$

where $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j}$, $\mathbf{i}'$, $\mathbf{j}'$ and $\mathbf{h}$ run over the whole of $\mathbf{N}^l$, $\mathbf{N}^{m-k}$, $\mathbf{N}^{l'-k}$, $\mathbf{N}^{m'}$ and $\mathbf{N}^k$ respectively. Then we have

$$\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa)\Xi_{l',m'}(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m,l'\}} k! \begin{pmatrix} m \\ k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l' \\ k \end{pmatrix} \Xi_{l+l'-k,m+m'-k}(S^{l}_{m-k}{}^{l'-k}_{m'}(\kappa \circ_k \lambda)). \tag{3.8}$$

for $\Xi_{l,m}(\kappa) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_+, \mathcal{E}_+^*)$ and $\Xi_{l',m'}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_+, \mathcal{E}_+)$. As for well-definedness of $S^{l}_{m-k}{}^{l'-k}_{m'}(\kappa \circ_k \lambda)$, see Definition 2.13 of [14].

Remark 3.8. We have the following equivalent conditions of implementability of $\mathrm{ad}(X)$.

(1) Let $X \in o(K, \Gamma; E)$. $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ is implementable as $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^*)$ if and only if there exists $M_X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^*)$ satisfying

$$M_X \pi_{P_+}(B(x))\phi - \pi_{P_+}(B(x))M_X\phi = \pi_{P_+}(B(Xx))\phi \tag{3.9}$$

for all $x \in E \oplus \Gamma E$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$.

(2) Let $X \in o(K, \Gamma; E)$. $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ is implementable as $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ if and only if there exists $M_X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ satisfying (3.9) for all $x \in E \oplus \Gamma E$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$.

(3) Let $X \in o(K, \Gamma)$. $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ is implementable as $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H), \mathcal{E}^*)$ if and only if there exists $M_X \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H), \mathcal{E}^*)$ satisfying (3.9) for all $x \in K$ and $\phi \in \Gamma(H)$.

Proof. It suffices to show (1) and (2). (In case of (3) we have only to replace the space $E \oplus \Gamma E$ in the following proof with K.) Suppose (3.9). Then (3.6) follows from direct computation. Conversely, we assume (3.6) and prove (3.9). Let

$$M'_X := 2\pi_{P_+}(B(x))M_X\pi_{P_+}(B(x)) - 2\pi_{P_+}(B(x)B(Xx))$$

for x, $y \in E \oplus \Gamma E$ satisfy $\Gamma x = x$ and $|x|_K = 1$. Then M'_X is independent of the choice of $x \in E \oplus \Gamma E$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_{P_+}(B(x))M_X\pi_{P_+}(B(x)) - \pi_{P_+}(B(x)B(Xx)) \\ &\quad = \pi_{P_+}(B(x))M_X\pi_{P_+}(B(x)) \cdot 2\pi_{P_+}(B(y))^2 - \pi_{P_+}(B(x)B(Xx)) \\ &\quad = 2\pi_{P_+}(B(x))\{\pi_{P_+}(B(x)B(y))M_X \\ &\qquad + \pi_{P_+}(B(Xx)B(y)) + \pi_{P_+}(B(x)B(Xy))\}\pi_{P_+}(B(y)) - \pi_{P_+}(B(x)B(Xx)) \\ &\quad = \pi_{P_+}(B(y))M_X\pi_{P_+}(B(y)) + \pi_{P_+}(B(Xy)B(y)), \\ &\quad = \pi_{P_+}(B(y))M_X\pi_{P_+}(B(y)) - \pi_{P_+}(B(y)B(Xy)). \end{aligned}$$

for x, $y \in E \oplus \Gamma E$ satisfying $\Gamma x = x$, $\Gamma y = y$ and $|x|_K = |y|_K = 1$. (The last equation of the above calculation is led by $(y, Xy)_K = 0$ for $X \in o(K, \Gamma)$ and $y \in E \oplus \Gamma E$ with $\Gamma y = y$.) From the definition of M'_X, we have

$$M'_X\pi_{P_+}(B(x)) = \pi_{P_+}(B(x))M_X + \pi_{P_+}(B(Xx)), \tag{3.10}$$
$$\pi_{P_+}(B(x))M'_X = M_X\pi_{P_+}(B(x)) - \pi_{P_+}(B(Xx)) \tag{3.11}$$

for any $x \in E \oplus \Gamma E$ satisfying $\Gamma x = x$ and $|x|_K = 1$. (By linearity of $B(\cdot)$, (3.10) and (3.11) hold for any $x \in E \oplus \Gamma E$.) Thus

$$\begin{aligned} M'_X \pi_{P_+}(B(x)B(y)) &= \pi_{P_+}(B(x)) M_X \pi_{P_+}(B(y)) + \pi_{P_+}(B(Xx)B(y)), \\ \pi_{P_+}(B(x)B(y)) M'_X &= \pi_{P_+}(B(x)) M_X \pi_{P_+}(B(y)) - \pi_{P_+}(B(Xx)B(y)) \end{aligned}$$

for all x, $y \in E \oplus \Gamma E$ from (3.10) and (3.11). This implies that M'_X satisfies (3.1). Since M_X is uniquely determined except for constant numbers i.e. $M'_X = M_X + \text{Constant}$ (see Theorem 3.5), we have

$$[M_X, \pi_{P_+}(B(x))] = [M'_X, \pi_{P_+}(B(x))], \quad x \in E \oplus \Gamma E.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} [M_X, B(x)] &= \{M_X \pi_{P_+}(B(x)) - \pi_{P_+}(B(x)) M'_X\} + \pi_{P_+}(B(x)) M'_X \\ &\quad - M'_X \pi_{P_+}(B(x)) + \{M'_X \pi_{P_+}(B(x)) - \pi_{P_+}(B(x)) M_X\} \\ &= \pi_{P_+}(B(Xx)) - [M'_X, \pi_{P_+}(B(x))] + \pi_{P_+}(B(Xx)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain (3.9). ∎

4 Proof of implementability

Put

$$T(x,y)z := (P\Gamma y, z)_0 \, Px - (P\Gamma x, z)_0 \, Py$$

for x, $y \in K$, $z \in E$. Then, for any x, $y \in K$, we have

$$\pi_{P_+}(B(x)B(y))|_{\mathcal{E}_+} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} \Xi_{i,j}(\lambda_{i,j}),$$

where

$$\begin{gathered} \lambda_{0,0} = (P\Gamma x, Py)_0\,, \\ \lambda_{1,0} = Px \wedge Py, \quad \lambda_{0,1} = PJ\Gamma x \wedge PJ\Gamma y, \\ \lambda_{1,1} = \left(1_2 \otimes d\Gamma(T(x,y))^{(2)}\right)^* \tau. \end{gathered}$$

Put $M_X^+ = \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &[M_X^+, \pi_{P_+}(B(x)B(y))] \\ &\quad = \Xi_{0,0}(\kappa_{0,1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0} - \lambda_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{1,0}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,0} \left(S^{l\ 0}_{0\ 0}(\kappa_{l,1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0}) - (l+1) S^{0\ l}_{0\ 0}(\lambda_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l+1,0}) - l S^{1\ l-1}_{0\ 0}(\lambda_{1,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l,0}) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Xi_{0,m} \left((m+1) S^{0\ 0}_{m\ 0}(\kappa_{0,m+1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0}) - S^{0\ 0}_{0\ m}(\lambda_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{1,m}) + m S^{0\ 0}_{m-1\ 1}(\kappa_{0,m} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,1}) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l,m=1}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,m} \Big((m+1) S^{l\ 0}_{m\ 0}(\kappa_{l,m+1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0}) - (l+1) S^{0\ l}_{0\ m}(\lambda_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l+1,m}) \\ &\quad + m S^{l\ 0}_{m\ 0}(\kappa_{l,m+1} \circ_1 \lambda_{1,0}) - l S^{1\ l-1}_{0\ m}(\lambda_{1,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{l,m}) \Big) \,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

by using (3.8). On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\pi_{P_+}(B(Xx)B(y)+B(x)B(Xy)) \\
&\quad = \Xi_{0,0}\left(\langle PJ\Gamma Xx, Py\rangle - \langle PJ\Gamma x, PJ\Gamma Xy\rangle\right) \\
&\quad + \Xi_{1,0}\left(PXx\wedge Py + Px\wedge PXy\right) + \Xi_{0,1}\left(PXx\wedge Py + Px\wedge PXy\right) \\
&\quad + \Xi_{1,1}\left(\left(1_2\otimes d\Gamma(T(Xx,y))^{(2)} + 1_2\otimes d\Gamma(T(x,Xy))^{(2)}\right)^* \tau\right). \qquad (4.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (3.6) implies

$$\mathcal{A}_{l,0}\left(S^{l\;0}_{0\;0}(\kappa_{l,1}\circ_1\lambda_{1,0}) - (l+1)S^{0\;l}_{0\;0}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ_1\kappa_{l+1,0}) - lS^{1\;l-1}_{0\;0}(\lambda_{1,1}\circ_1\kappa_{l,0})\right) = 0, \qquad (4.3)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{0,m}\left((m+1)S^{0\;0}_{m\;0}(\kappa_{0,m+1}\circ_1\lambda_{1,0}) - S^{0\;0}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ_1\kappa_{1,m}) + mS^{0\;\;\;\;0}_{m-1\;1}(\kappa_{0,m}\circ_1\lambda_{1,1})\right) = 0 \qquad (4.4)$$

for l, $m\geq 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{l,m}\Big((m+1)&S^{l\;0}_{m\;0}(\kappa_{l,m+1}\circ_1\lambda_{1,0}) - (l+1)S^{0\;l}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{0,1}\circ_1\kappa_{l+1,m}) \\
&+mS^{l\;0}_{m\;0}(\kappa_{l,m+1}\circ_1\lambda_{1,0}) - lS^{1\;l-1}_{0\;m}(\lambda_{1,1}\circ_1\kappa_{l,m})\Big) = 0 \qquad (4.5)
\end{aligned}$$

for $l\geq 1$, $m\geq 1$, $(l,m)\neq(1,1)$.

Lemma 4.1. *$\kappa_{l,0}=0$, $\kappa_{l,1}=0$, $\kappa_{0,m}=0$ and $\kappa_{1,m}=0$ for $l\geq 2$ and $m\geq 2$.*

Proof. (4.3) implies

$$0 = \sum_{\mathbf{i}}\langle\kappa_{l,1}, e(\mathbf{i})\otimes\lambda_{1,0}\rangle\,\mathcal{A}_{l,0}(e(\mathbf{i})) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}}\langle\kappa_{l,1}, \mathcal{A}_{l,0}(e(\mathbf{i}))\otimes\lambda_{1,0}\rangle\,\mathcal{A}_{l,0}(e(\mathbf{i}))$$

for all $l\geq 2$ since $\lambda_{1,0}=e_\alpha\wedge e_\beta$, $\lambda_{0,1}=0$, and $\lambda_{1,1}=0$ for $x=e_\alpha$ and $y=e_\beta$ $(\alpha<\beta)$. Thus we have $\langle\kappa_{l,1}, \mathcal{A}_{l,0}(e(\mathbf{i}))\otimes\lambda_{1,0}\rangle = 0$ for all $\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{N}^l$, i.e.,

$$\kappa_{l,1} = 0 \qquad (4.6)$$

for all $l\geq 2$. On the other hand, (4.3) implies

$$\kappa_{l+1,0} = 0 \qquad (4.7)$$

for all $l\geq 2$ since $\lambda_{1,0}=0$, $\lambda_{0,1}=e_\alpha\wedge e_\beta$, and $\lambda_{1,1}=0$ for $x=\Gamma e_\alpha$ and $y=\Gamma e_\beta$. Thus we obtain

$$\kappa_{l,0} = 0$$

for all $l\geq 2$ by using (4.3), (4.6), and (4.7). In the same manner, we have

$$\kappa_{0,m}=0, \quad \kappa_{1,m}=0$$

for $m\geq 2$ from (4.4). ∎

Lemma 4.2. *$\kappa_{l,m}=0$ for $(l,m)\in\mathbf{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ satisfying $l\geq 2$ or $m\geq 2$.*

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction. We have already shown $\kappa_{1,m}=0$ for $m\geq 2$. Assume $\kappa_{l,m}=0$ for $m\geq 2$ and we prove $\kappa_{l+1,m}=0$ for $m\geq 2$. Since $\kappa_{l,m+1}=0$, (4.5) implies

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}\left\langle\kappa_{l+1,m}, \mathcal{A}_{2(l+1)}(\lambda_{0,1}\otimes e(\mathbf{i}))\otimes\mathcal{A}_{2m}(e(\mathbf{j}))\right\rangle\mathcal{A}_{2l}(e(\mathbf{i}))\otimes\mathcal{A}_{2m}(e(\mathbf{j})) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\left\langle\kappa_{l+1,m}, \mathcal{A}_{2(l+1)}((e_\alpha\otimes e_\beta)\otimes e(\mathbf{i}))\otimes\mathcal{A}_{2m}(e(\mathbf{j}))\right\rangle = 0$$

for all α, β, $\mathbf{i}$, and $\mathbf{j}$. In fact, $\lambda_{0,1}=e_\alpha\wedge e_\beta$ if $x=\Gamma e_\alpha$ and $y=\Gamma e_\beta$. Thus we have $\kappa_{l+1,m}=0$ for $m\geq 2$. By induction, $\kappa_{l,m}=0$ for $l\geq 1$ and $m\geq 2$. ∎

Now we consider $\kappa_{1,1}$, $\kappa_{1,0}$, and $\kappa_{0,1}$. We have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \langle \kappa_{1,1}, e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes (P_+ x \wedge P_+ y) \rangle e(\mathbf{i}) - d\Gamma(T(x,y))^{(2)} \kappa_{1,0} = P_+ X x \wedge P_+ y + P_+ x \wedge P_+ X y \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$-\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \langle \kappa_{1,1}, (P_+ J\Gamma x \wedge P_+ J\Gamma y) \otimes e(\mathbf{i}) \rangle e(\mathbf{i}) + \left(d\Gamma(T(x,y))^{(2)} \right)^* \kappa_{0,1} = P_+ J\Gamma X x \wedge P_+ J\Gamma y + PJ\Gamma x \wedge PJ\Gamma X y \tag{4.9}$$

for x, $y \in K$ by using (3.6), (4.1) and (4.2).

Lemma 4.3.

$$\kappa_{1,1} = \left(1_2 \otimes d\Gamma(P_+ X P_+)^{(2)} \right)^* \tau. \tag{4.10}$$

$\kappa_{1,1}$ is in $(E^{\wedge 2}) \otimes (E^{\wedge 2})^*$ if $P_+ X P_+$ is in $\mathcal{L}(E,E)$. Moreover, $\kappa_{1,1}$ is in $(H^{\wedge 2}) \otimes (E^{\wedge 2})^*$ if $P_+ X P_+$ is Hilbert-Schmidt class operator.

Proof. We have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \langle \kappa_{1,1}, e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes (x \wedge y) \rangle e(\mathbf{i}) = d\Gamma(P_+ X P_+)^{(2)} (x \wedge y)$$

from (4.8) when $x \in P_+ K$ and $y \in P_+ K$. Thus we obtain (4.10). ∎

(4.8) implies

$$d\Gamma(T(x,y))^{(2)} \kappa_{1,0} = -x \wedge P_+ X y \tag{4.11}$$

for $x \in P_+ K$ and $y \in P_- K$. Now put $x = e_n$ and $y = \Gamma e_n$. Then (4.11) implies

$$\sum_{m; m \neq n} \langle \kappa_{1,0}, e_m \wedge e_n \rangle e_m \wedge e_n = PX\Gamma e_n \wedge e_n.$$

Thus $\kappa_{1,0}$ and $\kappa_{0,1}$ is given by the formula

$$\kappa_{1,0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (P_+ X P_- \Gamma e_n) \wedge e_n \in \left(E^{\wedge 2} \right)^*, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\kappa_{0,1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (P_+ JXJ P_- \Gamma e_n) \wedge e_n \in H^{\wedge 2} \tag{4.13}$$

formally. Hence we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *$X \in o(K,\Gamma)$ (resp. $X \in o(K,\Gamma;E)$) satisfies (3.4) (resp. (3.2)) if and only if $\kappa_{1,0} \in \left(E^{\wedge 2} \right)^*$ and $\kappa_{0,1} \in H^{\wedge 2}$ (resp. $\kappa_{1,0}$, $\kappa_{0,1} \in \left(E^{\wedge 2} \right)^*$).*

Proof. Suppose (3.4) (resp. (3.2)). Then, since

$$|\kappa_{l,m}|_p^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |P_+ X P_- \Gamma e_n|_p^2 |e_n|_p^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \lambda_n^{2p} |P_+ X P_- \Gamma e_n|_p^2$$

for $p = 0$ (resp. some $p > 0$), we have $\kappa_{1,0} \in \left(E^{\wedge 2} \right)^*$ and $\kappa_{0,1} \in H^{\wedge 2}$(resp. $\kappa_{1,0}$, $\kappa_{0,1} \in \left(E^{\wedge 2} \right)^*$).

Next, assume $\kappa_{1,0} \in \left(E^{\wedge 2} \right)^*$ and $\kappa_{0,1} \in H^{\wedge 2}$(resp. $\kappa_{1,0}$, $\kappa_{0,1} \in \left(E^{\wedge 2} \right)^*$). Then

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} P_+ X P_- \Gamma e_n \otimes e_n \in H^{\otimes 2}$$

follows from definition of $H^{\wedge 2}$.(resp.

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbf{N}} P_+XP_-\Gamma e_n\otimes e_n \in \left(E^{\otimes 2}\right)^*$$

follows from definition of $\left(E^{\wedge 2}\right)^*$.) Therefore (3.4) (resp. (3.2)) holds. ∎

From lemma 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4, we obtain lemma 3.7 for the case $\sigma = +$. Let us prove lemma 3.7 for the case $\sigma = -$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $f \in H = P_+K$ and $w(f) : K \to K$ be a linear operator defined by*

$$w(f)x := x - ((1+\Gamma)f, x)_K\,(1+\Gamma)f, \quad x \in K.$$

(1) *$w(f)^2 = 1$ and $w(f)^\dagger = w(f)$, that is, $w(f)$ is a unitary operator on K. Moreover $w(f)$ commutes with Γ. Therefore $w(f) \in O(K,\Gamma)$.*

(2) *Let $f \in E$ satisfy $(f,f)_K = 1$. Then we have*

$$W(f)q_{P_+}(Y)W(f) = q_{P_+}(Y(2w(f)-1))$$

for all $Y \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$.

Proof. (1) follows from direct computation.

(2) Note that

$$W(f) := a^\dagger(f) + a(Jf) = \pi_{P_+}(B((1+\Gamma)f))$$

follows $W(f)^2 = 1$ from Lemma 2.16 and

$$[q(Y), B(x)] = B(Yf)$$

holds for all $x \in K$ and $Y \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$ on K. (See Theorem 4.4 (6) of [1].) From the above note, we have

$$W(f)q_{P_+}(Y)W(f) = q_{P_+}(Y) - \pi_{P_+}(B(Y(1+\Gamma)f)B((1+\Gamma)f)).$$

Now,

$$q(Y(w(f)-1)) = -\frac{1}{2}B(Y(1+\Gamma)f)B((1+\Gamma)f)$$

holds. This is the quantization of the following finite rank operator :

$$Y(w(f)-1)x = -((1+\Gamma)f, x)_K Y(1+\Gamma)f, \quad x \in K.$$

Therefore

$$W(f)q_{P_+}(Y)W(f) = q_{P_+}(Y) + 2q_{P_+}(Y(w(f)-1)) = q_{P_+}(Y(2w(f)-1)).$$

∎

Let $M_X^- \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_-(H), \mathcal{E}_-^*)$ satisfy (3.7) on $\mathcal{E}_-$. Note that

$$W(f)M_X^-W(f) \in \mathcal{L}(\Gamma_+(H), \mathcal{E}_+^*)$$

and

$$W(f)q_{P_+}(Y)W(f)|_{\mathcal{E}_+} = q_{P_+}(Y(2w(f)-1))|_{\mathcal{E}_+} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_+, \Gamma_+(H)).$$

Then the restriction of (3.1) to $\mathcal{E}_-$ leads us to

$$[W(f)M_X^- W(f), q_{P_+}(Y(2w(f)-1))] = q_{P_+}([X,Y](2w(f)-1)) \tag{4.14}$$

for all $Y \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$ on $\mathcal{E}_+$. Put

$$W(f)M_X^- W(f) = \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m}).$$

(4.14) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \Xi_{l,m}(\kappa_{l,m}), \pi_{P_+}\Big(B(x)B((2w(f)-1)y) - B(y)B((2w(f)-1)x)\Big)\right] \\
&\qquad = \pi_{P_+}\Big(B(Xf)B((2w(f)-1)y) - B(Xy)B((2w(f)-1)y) \\
&\qquad\quad + B(x)B((2w(f)-1)Xy) - B(y)B((2w(f)-1)Xx)\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

for all x, $y \in K$ on $\mathcal{E}_+$ when $Y \in o_{\mathrm{fin}}(K,\Gamma)$ is given by (3.5). Therefore we can obtain

$$\kappa_{l,m} = 0$$

for all (l,m) satisfying $l \geq 2$ or $m \geq 2$. Moreover

$$S^{1\,0}_{0\,0}(\kappa_{1,1} \circ_1 \lambda'_{1,0}) - S^{1\,0}_{0\,0}(\lambda'_{1,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{1,0}) = \lambda''_{1,0}, \tag{4.15}$$

$$-S^{0\,0}_{0\,1}(\lambda'_{0,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{1,1}) + S^{0\,0}_{0\,1}(\kappa_{0,1} \circ_1 \lambda'_{1,1}) = \lambda''_{0,1}, \tag{4.16}$$

$$S^{1\,0}_{0\,1}(\kappa_{1,1} \circ_1 \lambda'_{1,1}) - S^{1\,0}_{0\,1}(\lambda'_{1,1} \circ_1 \kappa_{1,1}) = \lambda''_{1,1}, \tag{4.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
2\lambda'_{1,0} &:= P_+x \wedge P_+(2w(f)-1)y + P_+(2w(f)-1)x \wedge P_+y, \\
2\lambda'_{0,1} &:= P_+J\Gamma x \wedge P_+J\Gamma(2w(f)-1)y + P_+J\Gamma(2w(f)-1)x \wedge P_+J\Gamma y, \\
2\lambda'_{1,1} &:= \Big(1_2 \otimes d\Gamma[T(x,(2w(f)-1)y) + T((2w(f)-1)x,y)]^{(2)}\Big)^* \tau, \\
2\lambda''_{1,0} &:= P_+Xx \wedge P_+(2w(f)-1)y + P_+(2w(f)-1)Xx \wedge P_+y \\
&\quad + P_+x \wedge P_+(2w(f)-1)Xy + P_+(2w(f)-1)x \wedge P_+Xy, \\
2\lambda''_{0,1} &:= P_+J\Gamma Xx \wedge P_+J\Gamma(2w(f)-1)y + P_+J\Gamma(2w(f)-1)Xx \wedge P_+J\Gamma y \\
&\quad + P_+J\Gamma x \wedge P_+J\Gamma(2w(f)-1)Xy + P_+J\Gamma(2w(f)-1)x \wedge P_+J\Gamma Xy, \\
2\lambda''_{1,1} &:= (1_2 \otimes [T(Xx,(2w(f)-1)y) + T((2w(f)-1))Xx,y) \\
&\quad + T(x,(2w(f)-1)Xy) + T((2w(f)-1)x,Xy)]^{(2)})^* \tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.6. *We denote the orthogonal complement of* $\mathrm{span}[\{f,\Gamma f\}]$ *with respect to the Hilbert space* $(K,(\cdot,\cdot)_K)$ *by* $\mathrm{span}[\{f,\Gamma f\}]^\perp$.

1. $w(f)x = x$ *for* $x \in \mathrm{span}[\{f,\Gamma f\}]^\perp$.
2. $Jx \in \mathrm{span}[\{f,\Gamma f\}]^\perp$ *for* $x \in \mathrm{span}[\{f,\Gamma f\}]^\perp$.
3. $T(x,y) = 0$ *and* $T(\Gamma x,\Gamma y) = 0$ *for* x, $y \in H = P_+K$.

Lemma 4.6 is easily checked.

Lemma 4.7.

$$\kappa_{1,1} = \left(1_2 \otimes d\Gamma(P_+ w(f) X w(f) P_+)^{(2)}\right)^* \tau. \tag{4.18}$$

Proof. Put $x := \Gamma J x'$, $y := \Gamma J y'$ for x', $y' \in H \cap \mathrm{span}[\{f, \Gamma f\}]^\perp$. Then (4.15) and Lemma 4.6 imply

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \left\langle \kappa_{1,1}, e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes (x' \wedge y') \right\rangle e(\mathbf{i}) = d\Gamma(P_+ w(f) X w(f) P_+)^{(2)} (x' \wedge y').$$

In the same manner, put $x := \Gamma J x'$ for $x' \in H \cap \mathrm{span}[\{f, \Gamma f\}]^\perp$ and $y = f$, then we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \left\langle \kappa_{1,1}, e(\mathbf{i}) \otimes (x' \wedge f) \right\rangle e(\mathbf{i}) = d\Gamma(P_+ w(f) X w(f) P_+)^{(2)} (x' \wedge f).$$

Thus $\kappa_{1,1}$ is given by (4.18). ∎

Lemma 4.8. *Let $X \in o(K, \Gamma)$ (resp. $X \in o(K, \Gamma; E)$) satisfy (3.4) (resp. (3.2)). Then*

$$\kappa_{1,0} = \frac{1}{2} P_+ X e_1 \wedge e_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}} P_+ w(e_1) X w(e_1) P_- \Gamma e_n \wedge e_n, \tag{4.19}$$

$$\kappa_{0,1} = \frac{1}{2} P_+ J X J e_1 \wedge e_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}} P_+ J w(e_1) X w(e_1) J P_- \Gamma e_n \wedge e_n \tag{4.20}$$

and $\kappa_{1,0} \in \left(E^{\wedge 2}\right)^*$ and $\kappa_{0,1} \in H^{\wedge 2}$ (resp. $\kappa_{1,0}$, $\kappa_{0,1} \in \left(E^{\wedge 2}\right)^*$).

Proof. If we put $x = e_n$, $y = \Gamma e_n$ $(n \neq 1)$, then

$$\lambda''_{1,0} = -P_+ w(e_1) X \Gamma e_n \wedge e_n$$

from Lemma 4.6. On the other hand, if $x = e_1$ and $y = \Gamma e_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2\lambda''_{1,0} &= P_+ X e_1 \wedge (-2 e_1) + 0 + e_1 \wedge P_+ (2 w(e_1) - 1) X \Gamma e_1 + (-e_1) \wedge P_+ X \Gamma e_1 \\ &= -2 P_+ X e_1 \wedge e_1 + e_1 \wedge P_+ X \Gamma e_1 - e_1 \wedge P_+ X \Gamma e_1 \\ &= -2 P_+ X e_1 \wedge e_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.15) implies

$$d\Gamma(T(e_n, \Gamma e_n))^{(2)} \kappa_{1,0} = P_+ w(e_1) X \Gamma e_n \wedge e_n = P_+ w(e_1) X w(e_1) \Gamma e_n \wedge e_n,$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{m; m \neq n} \langle \kappa_{1,0}, e_m \wedge e_n \rangle e_m \wedge e_n = P_+ w(e_1) X w(e_1) \Gamma e_n \wedge e_n$$

for all $n \neq 1$ and

$$\sum_{m; m \neq 1} \langle \kappa_{1,0}, e_1 \wedge e_m \rangle e_1 \wedge e_m = P_+ w(e_1) X w(e_1) \Gamma e_1 \wedge e_1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{1,0} &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n; n > m} \langle \kappa_{1,0}, e_m \wedge e_n \rangle e_m \wedge e_n \\ &= \frac{1}{2} P_+ X e_1 \wedge e_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}} P_+ w(e_1) X w(e_1) P_- \Gamma e_n \wedge e_n. \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, we also have (4.20). ∎

5 One particle and positive energy Fock representation of gauge algebra

In this section, we deal with the one particle and the Fock representation of gauge algebra. We discuss possibility of constructing of the Fock representations of gauge algebra via implementability of Bogoliubov automorphisms at Lie algebraic level. First, let $\mathbf{T} := \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} \simeq [0, 2\pi]$. (Here we identify 0 with 2π.)

Let $K := \mathbf{C}^N \otimes L^2(\mathbf{T}^{2n-1}) \otimes \mathbf{C}^2$ and Γ be the anti-linear isomorphism on K satisfying

$$\Gamma\left(u \otimes \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) := \overline{u} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{f_1} \\ \overline{f_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

for $u \in \mathbf{C}^N$ and $f_i \in L^2(\mathbf{T}^{2n-1})$. Here $\overline{u}$ and $\overline{f_i}$ stand for the natural complex conjugate of u and f_i respectively. Let

$$\mathfrak{h} := 1_N \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-1}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} & m \\ m & \sqrt{-1}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $n = 1$ and

$$\mathfrak{h} := \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-1}\,\overrightarrow{\sigma} \cdot \nabla & m1_N \\ m1_N & \sqrt{-1}\,\overrightarrow{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \end{pmatrix}$$

for $n \geq 2$. Here $m \geq 0$ is mass, and $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{2n-1}$ are self-adjoint $N \times N$ matrices representing the Euclidean Clifford algebra in $\mathbf{R}^{2n-1}$ and we put

$$\overrightarrow{\sigma} := (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{2n-1}), \quad \nabla := (\partial_1, \partial_2, \ldots, \partial_{2n-1}).$$

We call $\mathfrak{h}$ the Dirac Hamiltonian on K. For example, in case of $n = 2$, we take

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.1}$$

These σ_i are called the Pauli matrices. The Pauli matrices satisfy

$$\sigma_i^2 = 1, \quad \sigma_i\sigma_j = -\sigma_j\sigma_i \, (i \neq j).$$

5.1 The one particle representation of gauge group and gauge algebra

Let $U \in C^\infty(\mathbf{T}^{2n-1}, SO(N))$ and

$$\left[\pi(U) \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}\right](x) := (U(x) \otimes 1_2) \begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) \\ f_2(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in \mathbf{T}^{2n-1}$$

for $f_i \in \mathbf{C}^N \otimes L^2(\mathbf{T}^{2n-1})$. Then $\pi(U)$ is a unitary operator on K and $\pi(U)$ satisfies

$$\Gamma\pi(U) = \pi(U)\Gamma,$$

that is, $\pi(U)$ is a Bogoliubov transformation on K. (In particular, we deal with the case $N = 2$ in the following subsections.)

Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{T}^{2n-1}, \mathbf{R})$ and

$$U_\lambda(x) := \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\lambda\varphi(x)) & -\sin(\lambda\varphi(x)) \\ \sin(\lambda\varphi(x)) & \cos(\lambda\varphi(x)) \end{pmatrix}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Then U_λ is an element of $C^\infty(\mathbf{T}^{2n-1}, SO(2))$ and $\pi(U_\lambda)$ is a Bogoliubov transformation on K.

The infinitesimal generator of the one parameter unitary group $\{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\mathbf{R}}$ is

$$X(x) := -\varphi(x)\sigma_2.$$

We define

$$\left[\pi(X)\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}\right](x) := (X(x)\otimes 1_2)\begin{pmatrix} f_1(x) \\ f_2(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

for $f_i \in \mathbf{C}^N \otimes L^2(\mathbf{T}^{2n-1})$. Then π is a Lie algebra homomorphism from $C^\infty(\mathbf{T}^{2n-1},\ so(2))$ to $o(K,\Gamma)$. We call π the one particle representation of $C^\infty(\mathbf{T}^{2n-1}, so(2))$.

The aim of this section is to give criterion of constructing the representation of gauge algebra on the positive energy Fermion Fock spaces.

5.2 The case of massive ($m>0$) and $n=1$

First, we give the projection P_+ on K in order to discuss the possibility of constructing the positive energy Fock representation of gauge algebra. Let

$$\phi_\alpha^\sigma(x) := \begin{pmatrix} d_{\sigma\alpha} \\ \sigma d_{-\sigma\alpha} \end{pmatrix}\exp(\sqrt{-1}\alpha x), \quad \sigma\in\{+,-\},\ \alpha\in\mathbf{Z},$$

where

$$d_\alpha := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}}\right)}, \quad E(\alpha) := \alpha^2+m^2.$$

Lemma 5.1. *ϕ_α^σ is an eigenvector for $\mathfrak{h}$ with an eigenvalue $\sigma\sqrt{E(\alpha)}$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma=+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} -\sqrt{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}d_\alpha e^{\sqrt{-1}\alpha x} + m d_{-\alpha}e^{\sqrt{-1}\alpha x} &= \left(\alpha + m\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}-\alpha}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}+\alpha}}\right) d_\alpha e^{\sqrt{-1}\alpha x} \\ &= \left(\alpha+\frac{m^2}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}+\alpha}\right) d_\alpha e^{\sqrt{-1}\alpha x} \\ &= \sqrt{E(\alpha)}d_\alpha e^{\sqrt{-1}\alpha x}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, we have

$$m d_\alpha e^{\sqrt{-1}\alpha x} + \sqrt{-1}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}d_{-\alpha}e^{\sqrt{-1}\alpha x} = \sqrt{E(\alpha)}d_{-\alpha}e^{\sqrt{-1}\alpha x}.?$$

That is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-1}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} & m \\ m & \sqrt{-1}\,\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \end{pmatrix}\phi_\alpha^+ = \sqrt{E(\alpha)}\phi_\alpha^+.$$

The case of $\sigma=-$ can be considered similarly. ∎

Now let $\{e_s\}_{s=1}^N$ be a C.O.N.S. of $\mathbf{C}^N$. Then

$$\{e_s\otimes\phi_\alpha^\sigma \mid \alpha\in\mathbf{Z},\ s\in\{1,2,\ldots,N\},\ \sigma\in\{+,-\}\}$$

is a C.O.N.S. of $K := \mathbf{C}^N\otimes L^2(\mathbf{T})\otimes\mathbf{C}^2$.

Let P_+(resp. P_-) be a spectral projection of $\mathfrak{h}$ for positive spectrum $[m,\infty)$ (resp. negative spectrum $(-\infty,-m]$). Then

$$\{e_s\otimes\phi_\alpha^+ \mid \alpha\in\mathbf{Z},\ s\in\{1,2,\ldots,N\}\}$$

is a C.O.N.S. of P_+K. Let $N = 2$ and put a C.O.N.S. of $\mathbf{C}^N = \mathbf{C}^2$ as follows:

$$e_+ := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_- := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-1} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the C.O.N.S. $\{e_s \otimes \phi_\alpha^\sigma \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{Z},\ s, \sigma \in \{+,-\}\}$ satisfies

$$\Gamma(e_s \otimes \phi_\alpha^+) = e_{-s} \otimes \phi_{-\alpha}^-.$$

This shows $P_+\Gamma = \Gamma P_-$.

We check the criterion of implementability by using the result of section 3. In order to use the white noise calculus, we need a Hilbert space H and a self adjoint operator A on H satisfying the two conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 2.1. Let $H := P_+K$. If $m > 1$, then $A := \mathfrak{h}P_+$. If $0 < m \leq 1$, then we put $A := (\mathfrak{h} + cP_+ - cP_-)P_+$ for some $c > 1$. To simplify discussion, we assume $m > 1$.

A complex structure of H is given by the following anti-linear isomorphism J on K :

$$J\left(u \otimes \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) := \overline{u} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \overline{f_1} \\ \overline{f_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

for $u \in \mathbf{C}^N$ and $f_i \in L^2(\mathbf{T}^{2n-1})$. Here $\overline{u}$ and $\overline{f_i}$ stand for the natural complex conjugate of u and f_i respectively. In fact, J commutes with P_+. Let E be the CH-space determined by the pair (H, A). We have the following relation between the canonical bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of $E^* \times E$ and the inner product of H:

$$\langle f, g \rangle = (Jf, g)_0, \quad f \in H,\ g \in E.$$

The following lemma is easily checked by direct computation.

Lemma 5.2.

$$(e_+ \otimes \phi_\alpha^+,\ P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma(e_- \otimes \phi_\beta^+))_K = -\sqrt{-1}\ (d_\alpha d_\beta - d_{-\alpha}d_{-\beta})\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha + \beta),$$
$$(e_+ \otimes \phi_\alpha^+,\ P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma(e_+ \otimes \phi_\beta^+))_K = (e_- \otimes \phi_\alpha^+,\ P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma(e_- \otimes \phi_\beta^+))_K = 0$$

where $\widehat{\varphi}$ is the Fourier co-efficient of φ.

Therefore, we have

Proposition 5.3.

(1) $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ *is implementable as* $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H), \mathcal{E}^*)$, *that is,* $P_+\pi(X)P_-$ *is a Hilbert-Schmidt class operator.*

(2) *For any* $p \geq \frac{3}{4}$, *we have*

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}, s \in \{+,-\}} E(\alpha)^p |P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma(e_s \otimes \phi_\alpha^+)|_p^2 = +\infty,$$

that is, $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ *is not implementable as* $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$.

Proof. (1) From lemma 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z},\ s\in\{+,-\}} E(\alpha)^p |P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma(e_s\otimes\phi_\alpha^+)|_p^2 \\
&\qquad = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z},\ s\in\{+,-\}} E(\alpha)^p \sum_{\beta\in\mathbf{Z},\ t\in\{+,-\}} |(e_t\otimes\phi_\beta^+,\ P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma(e_s\otimes\phi_\alpha^+))_K|^2 \\
&\qquad = 2\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)|^2 \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}} E(\alpha)^p E(\gamma-\alpha)^p \\
&\qquad\qquad \left(1-\frac{\alpha(\alpha-\gamma)}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\gamma-\alpha)}}-\frac{m^2}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\gamma-\alpha)}}\right) \qquad (5.2)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $p\in\mathbf{R}$. We prove that the right hand side of (5.2) is finite for any $0\le p<\frac{3}{4}$. Put

$$N_\alpha := \sqrt{\left\{1+\left(\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)^2\right\}\left\{\left(1-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)^2+\left(\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)^2\right\}}+\left(1-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)+\left(\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\gamma-\alpha)}-\alpha(\alpha-\gamma)-m^2 &= \frac{E(\alpha)E(\gamma-\alpha)-(\alpha(\alpha-\gamma)+m^2)^2}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\gamma-\alpha)}+\alpha(\alpha-\gamma)+m^2} \\
&= N_\alpha^{-1}m^2\gamma^2\alpha^{-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $|\alpha|$ is larger than $\alpha_0:=\max\{|\gamma|+1, m\}$, then N_α satisfies

$$\frac{2}{|\gamma|+1}\le N_\alpha<7$$

In fact,

$$N_\alpha \ge \sqrt{(1+0^2)\left\{\left(1-\frac{|\gamma|}{|\gamma|+1}\right)^2+0^2\right\}}+\left(1-\frac{|\gamma|}{|\gamma|+1}\right)+0^2\ge\frac{2}{|\gamma|+1}$$

and

$$N_\alpha\le\sqrt{(1+1)\{(1+1)^2+1\}}+(1+1)+1<7$$

for $\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}$ satisfying $|\alpha|\ge\alpha_0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z};|\alpha|\ge\alpha_0} E(\alpha)^{p-\frac{1}{2}}E(\gamma-\alpha)^{p-\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\gamma-\alpha)}-\alpha(\alpha-\gamma)-m^2) \\
&\qquad \le m^2|\gamma|^2\frac{|\gamma|+1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z};|\alpha|\ge\alpha_0} E(\alpha)^{p-\frac{1}{2}}E(\gamma-\alpha)^{p-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha^{-2} \\
&\qquad = m^2|\gamma|^2\frac{|\gamma|+1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z};|\alpha|\ge\alpha_0}\alpha^{4p-4}\left(1+\left(\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)^2\right)^{p-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left(1-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)^2+\left(\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)^2\right)^{p-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\qquad \le 2^{2p-2}m^2|\gamma|^2(|\gamma|+1)\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\alpha^{4p-4}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z};|\alpha|<\alpha_0} E(\alpha)^pE(\gamma-\alpha)^p\left(1-\frac{\alpha(\alpha-\gamma)}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\gamma-\alpha)}}-\frac{m^2}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\gamma-\alpha)}}\right)\\
&\qquad\le \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z};|\alpha|<\alpha_0} E(\alpha)E(\gamma-\alpha)(1+1+0)\\
&\qquad\le C_2|\gamma|^2+C_1|\gamma|+C_0,
\end{aligned}$$

where all C_i are polynomials of $\alpha_0=\max\{|\gamma|+1,m\}$, that is, $C_2|\gamma|^2+C_1|\gamma|+C_0$ is a polynomial of $|\gamma|$.

Therefore, the right hand side of the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z},s\in\{+,-\}} E(\alpha)^p|P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma(e_s\otimes\phi_\alpha^+)|_p^2\\
&\qquad\le\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{Z}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)|^2\left(C_2|\gamma|^2+C_1|\gamma|+C_0+2^{2p-2}m^2\gamma^2(|\gamma|+1)\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}\backslash\{0\}}\alpha^{4p-4}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

is finite when $0\le p<\frac{3}{4}$.

If $p\ge\frac{3}{4}$, the right hand side of (5.2) does not converge. Because, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z};|\alpha|\ge\alpha_0} E(\alpha)^{p-\frac{1}{2}}E(\gamma-\alpha)^{p-\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\gamma-\alpha)}-\alpha(\alpha-\gamma)-m^2)\\
&\qquad\ge\frac{1}{7}m^2|\gamma|^2\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z};|\alpha|\ge\alpha_0}\alpha^{4p-4}\left(1+\left(\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)^2\right)^{p-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\left(1-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha}\right)^2+\left(\frac{m}{\alpha}\right)^2\right)^{p-\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\qquad\ge\frac{1}{7}m^2|\gamma|^2\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z};|\alpha|\ge\alpha_0}\alpha^{4p-4}\cdot(1+0)^{p-\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\left(\left(1-\frac{|\gamma|}{|\gamma|+1}\right)^2+0\right)^{p-\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\qquad=\frac{1}{7}m^2|\gamma|^2\left(\frac{1}{|\gamma|+1}\right)^{2p-1}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z};|\alpha|\ge\alpha_0}\alpha^{4p-4}
\end{aligned}$$

and $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z};|\alpha|\ge\alpha_0}\alpha^{4p-4}$ does not converge for $p\ge\frac{3}{4}$. ∎

5.3 The case of massless ($m=0$) and $n=1$

Let

$$\phi_\alpha^+(x):=\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}\exp(\sqrt{-1}\alpha x),\quad \phi_\alpha^-(x):=\begin{pmatrix}0\\-1\end{pmatrix}\exp(\sqrt{-1}\alpha x),\quad \alpha\in\mathbf{Z}\backslash\{0\}$$

and

$$\phi_0^+(x):=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix},\quad \phi_0^-(x):=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1\\-1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\phi_{\sigma n}^\sigma$ is an eigenvector for the Dirac Hamiltonian $\mathfrak{h}$ with an eigenvalue n. Let

$$e_+:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{-1}\\1\end{pmatrix},\quad e_-:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}-\sqrt{-1}\\1\end{pmatrix}.$$

$\{e_s\}_{s=+,-}$ is a C.O.N.S. of $\mathbf{C}^N=\mathbf{C}^2$. Then

$$\{e_s\otimes\phi_\alpha^\sigma\mid\alpha\in\mathbf{Z},\ \sigma,\ s\in\{+,-\}\}$$

is a C.O.N.S. of $K := \mathbf{C}^N \otimes L^2(\mathbf{T}) \otimes \mathbf{C}^2$, $N = 2$.

Let

$$P_+K := \overline{\mathrm{span}}\{e_s \otimes \phi_\alpha^\sigma,\ \ e_s \otimes \phi_0^+ \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{N},\ \sigma,\ s \in \{+,-\}\},$$
$$P_-K := \overline{\mathrm{span}}\{e_s \otimes \phi_\alpha^\sigma,\ e_s \otimes \phi_0^- \mid \alpha \in (-\mathbf{N}),\ \sigma,\ s \in \{+,-\}\}$$

and P_+ (resp. P_-) be a projection from K to P_+K (resp. P_-K). Then

$$\Gamma(e_s \otimes \phi_\alpha^\sigma) = e_{-s} \otimes \phi_{-\alpha}^\sigma, \quad \Gamma(e_s \otimes \phi_0^+) = e_{-s} \otimes \phi_0^-,$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}$. This implies $P_+\Gamma = \Gamma P_-$.

Let $H := P_+K$ and $A := (\mathfrak{h} + cP_+ - cP_-)P_+$ for some $c > 1$. Then we can define the CH-space E by using the pair (H, A).

Proposition 5.4.

(1) $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ *is implementable as* $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H), \mathcal{E}^*)$.

(2) $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ *is implementable as* $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$.

Proof. (1) Since $X(x)e_s = s\varphi(x)e_s$ for $s \in \{+,-\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(e_s \otimes \phi_\alpha^\sigma,\ \pi(X)(e_t \otimes \phi_\beta^{\sigma'}))_K &= \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(x)(e_s, e_t)_{\mathbf{C}^2}(\phi_\alpha^\sigma(x), \phi_\beta^{\sigma'}(x))_{\mathbf{C}^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2\pi} \\ &= \begin{cases} \delta_{s,t}\delta_{\sigma,\sigma'}\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha-\beta), & \beta \in \mathbf{Z}\backslash\{0\}, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\delta_{s,t}\sigma\sigma'\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha), & \beta = 0, \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}\backslash\{0\}$ and σ, σ', s, $t \in \{+,-\}$. From direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned}|P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma|^2_{\mathrm{H.S.}} &= 2|\widehat{\varphi}(0)|^2 + 4\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}\cup\{0\}}\sum_{\beta\in\mathbf{N}}|\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha+\beta)|^2 \\ &= 2|\widehat{\varphi}(0)|^2 + 4\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{N}}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\gamma-1}|\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)|^2 \\ &\le 2|\widehat{\varphi}(0)|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{N}}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\gamma-1}\frac{1}{\gamma^4}\left\|\varphi^{(2)}\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbf{T})} \\ &= 2|\widehat{\varphi}(0)|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\left(\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{N}}\frac{1}{\gamma^3}\right)\left\|\varphi^{(2)}\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbf{T})} < +\infty\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi^{(i)}$ is the i-th derivative of a function φ. Here we use

$$\int_0^{2\pi}\varphi(x)\exp(-\sqrt{-1}\gamma x)\mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}\gamma}\int_0^{2\pi}\varphi^{(1)}(x)\exp(-\sqrt{-1}\gamma x)\mathrm{d}x.$$

(2) Recall

$$M_X = \Xi_{1,1}(\kappa_{1,1}) + \Xi_{1,0}(\kappa_{1,0}) + \Xi_{0,1}(\kappa_{0,1}) + \text{Constant}$$

and $\kappa_{1,1}$ (resp. $\kappa_{1,0}$) is given by (4.10) (resp. (4.12)). Thus we have to check $\kappa_{1,1} \in (E^{\wedge 2}) \otimes (E^{\wedge 2})^*$ and $\kappa_{1,0} \in E^{\wedge 2}$.

First, we prove $\kappa_{1,1} \in (E^{\wedge 2}) \otimes (E^{\wedge 2})^*$. If $P_+\pi(X)P_+ \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$, then $\kappa_{1,1} \in (E^{\wedge 2}) \otimes (E^{\wedge 2})^*$. Thus it suffices to show $P_+\pi(X)P_+ \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$. For $p \in \mathbf{N}$, since

$$A^p = P_+(\mathfrak{h} + cP_+ - cP_-)^p = P_+\sum_{q=0}^{p}\begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}c^{p-q}\mathfrak{h}^q,$$

we have

$$A^p\pi(X)\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{q=0}^{p} c^{p-q}\begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}\sum_{r=0}^{q}\begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}$$
$$(\sqrt{-1})^{q-r}\begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{q-r}X^{(q-r)} & 0 \\ 0 & X^{(q-r)} \end{pmatrix}\mathfrak{h}^r\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $f_i \in C^\infty(\mathbf{T})$. Thus we obtain the following estimation :

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|P_+\pi(X)P_+\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}\right|_p \\ &\leq \sum_{q=0}^{p} c^{p-q}\begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}\sum_{r=0}^{q}\begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}\left|\begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{q-r}X^{(q-r)} & 0 \\ 0 & X^{(q-r)} \end{pmatrix}\mathfrak{h}^r\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}\right|_0 \\ &\leq C\left|\mathfrak{h}^r\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}\right|_0 \leq C\left|A^r\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}\right|_0 = C\left|\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}\right|_p, \end{aligned}$$

where $X^{(q-r)}$ is the $(q-r)$-th derivative of a matrix valued function X and

$$C := \sup_{0\leq q\leq p}\sup_{x\in\mathbf{T}}|X^{(q)}(x)|\cdot\sum_{q=0}^{p} c^{p-q}\begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix}\sum_{r=0}^{q}\begin{pmatrix} q \\ r \end{pmatrix}.$$

This estimation shows continuity of a linear operator $P_+\pi(X)P_+$ with respect to the topology of E.

Next, we prove $\kappa_{1,0} \in E^{\wedge 2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\kappa_{1,0}|_p &\leq 4\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{N}}\sum_{\beta\in\mathbf{N}\cup\{0\}}(\alpha+c)^p(\beta+c)^p|\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha+\beta)|^2 \\ &= 4\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{N}}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\gamma-1}(\alpha+c)^p((\gamma-\alpha)+c)^p|\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)|^2 \\ &\leq 4\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{N}}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+c)^p(\gamma+c)^p|\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)|^2 \\ &\leq 4\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{N}}(\gamma+c)^{2p+1}|\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma) = \int_0^{2\pi}\varphi(x)\exp(\sqrt{-1}\gamma x)\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2\pi} = \left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{-1}\gamma}\right)^q\int_0^{2\pi}\varphi^{(q)}(x)\exp(\sqrt{-1}\gamma x)\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{2\pi}$$

for any $q \in \mathbf{N}$. This implies that $|\kappa_{1,0}|_p$ is finite. In fact, it can be easily seen that

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{N}}(\gamma+c)^{2p+1}|\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)|^2$$

is finite for a sufficient large $q \in \mathbf{N}$. ∎

5.4 The case of massive ($m > 0$) and $n = 2$

Let

$$\phi^{+}_{\alpha,s}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} A_\alpha e_s \\ A_{-\alpha} e_s \end{pmatrix} \exp(\sqrt{-1}(\alpha, x)),$$

$$\phi^{-}_{\alpha,s}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{-\alpha} e_s \\ -A_\alpha e_s \end{pmatrix} \exp(\sqrt{-1}(\alpha, x)),$$

where $x \in \mathbf{T}^3$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^3$, $s \in \{+, -\}$ and $\{e_+, e_-\}$ is a C.O.N.S. of $\mathbf{C}^2$. Moreover, we put

$$A_\alpha := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\alpha_j}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}}\sigma_j\right)} \in \mathrm{Mat}(2, \mathbf{C}),$$

where σ_1, σ_2, σ_3 are the Pauli matrices and $E(\alpha) := |\alpha|^2 + m^2$. (See (5.1).) Since $1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\alpha_j}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}}\sigma_j$ is positive, we can define the square root of $1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\alpha_j}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}}\sigma_j$, that is, A_n is well-defined.

Lemma 5.5. *Let*

$$d^{\sigma}_{\alpha} := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \sigma\frac{|\alpha|}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}}\right)}, \quad p_\alpha := \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\alpha_j}{|\alpha|}\sigma_j\right)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^3\backslash\{0\}$ and $\sigma \in \{+, -\}$. Then

(1) *p_α is a projection and $1 - p_\alpha = p_{-\alpha}$.*

(2) *$A_\alpha = d^{+}_{\alpha} p_\alpha + d^{-}_{\alpha}(1 - p_\alpha)$.*

Proof. (1) follows from direct computation.

(2) From direct computation, we have

$$A^2_\alpha = (d^{+}_{\alpha})^2 p_\alpha + (d^{-}_{\alpha})^2(1 - p_\alpha).$$

Thus

$$A_\alpha = \sqrt{(d^{+}_{\alpha})^2 p_\alpha + (d^{-}_{\alpha})^2(1 - p_\alpha)} = d^{+}_{\alpha} p_\alpha + d^{-}_{\alpha}(1 - p_\alpha).$$

∎

Lemma 5.6. *$\phi^{\sigma}_{\alpha,s}$ is an eigenvector for the Dirac operator $\mathfrak{h}$ with an eigenvalue $\sigma\sqrt{E(\alpha)}$.*

Proof. From

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_j \sigma_j\right) p_{\sigma\alpha} = \sigma|\alpha| p_{\sigma\alpha}$$

and Lemma 5.5, we have

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_j \sigma_j\right) A_\alpha = |\alpha|(d^{+}_{\alpha} p_\alpha - d^{-}_{\alpha} p_{-\alpha}).$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sqrt{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\sigma_j\partial_j(A_\alpha e_s)e^{\sqrt{-1}(\alpha,x)}+m(A_{-\alpha}e_s)e^{\sqrt{-1}(\alpha,x)}\\
&\quad=\left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3}\alpha_j\sigma_j\right)A_\alpha+mA_{-\alpha}\right\}e_s\cdot e^{\sqrt{-1}(\alpha,x)}\\
&\quad=\{(|\alpha|d_\alpha^{+}+md_\alpha^{-})p_\alpha+(-|\alpha|d_\alpha^{-}+md_\alpha^{+})\}e_s\cdot e^{\sqrt{-1}(\alpha,x)}\\
&\quad=\sqrt{E(\alpha)}A_\alpha e_s\cdot e^{\sqrt{-1}(\alpha,x)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we use

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\alpha|d_\alpha^{+}+md_\alpha^{-}&=\left(|\alpha|+m\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}-|\alpha|}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}+|\alpha|}}\right)d_\alpha^{+}\\
&=\left(|\alpha|+\frac{m^2}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}+|\alpha|}\right)d_\alpha^{+}\\
&=\sqrt{E(\alpha)}d_\alpha^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
-|\alpha|d_\alpha^{-}+md_\alpha^{+}&=\left(-|\alpha|+m\sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}+|\alpha|}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}-|\alpha|}}\right)d_\alpha^{-}\\
&=\left(-|\alpha|+\frac{m^2}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}-|\alpha|}\right)d_\alpha^{-}\\
&=\sqrt{E(\alpha)}d_\alpha^{-}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, we also have

$$
m(A_\alpha e_s)e^{\sqrt{-1}(\alpha,x)}+\sqrt{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\sigma_j\partial_j(A_{-\alpha}e_s)e^{\sqrt{-1}(\alpha,x)}=\sqrt{E(\alpha)}A_{-\alpha}e_s\cdot e^{\sqrt{-1}(\alpha,x)}.
$$

This shows that $\phi_{\alpha,s}^{+}$ is an eigenvector for the Dirac Hamiltonian $\mathfrak{h}$ with an eigenvalue $\sqrt{E(\alpha)}$. In the same manner, we can prove that $\phi_{\alpha,s}^{-}$ is an eigenvector for the Dirac Hamiltonian $\mathfrak{h}$ with an eigenvalue $-\sqrt{E(\alpha)}$. ∎

Moreover,

$$
\{\phi_{\alpha,s}^{\sigma}\mid\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}^3,\ s,\sigma\in\{+,-\}\}
$$

is a C.O.N.S. of $K=\mathbf{C}^2\otimes L^2(\mathbf{T}^3)\otimes\mathbf{C}^2$.

Assume $m>1$ (to avoid the complexity) and let P_+(resp. P_-) be a spectral projection of $\mathfrak{h}$ for the positive spectrum $[m,\infty)$ (resp. negative spectrum $(-\infty,-m]$). Since $\Gamma\phi_{\alpha,s}^{+}=\phi_{-\alpha,s}^{-}$ for $\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}^3$ and $s\in\{+,-\}$, P_σ satisfies $P_+\Gamma=\Gamma P_-$.

Let $H:=P_+K$ and $A:=\mathfrak{h}P_+$, and let E be the CH-space constructed from the pair (H,A).

Proposition 5.7.

(1) $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ *is not implementable as* $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H),\mathcal{E}^*)$, *i.e.,* $|P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma|_{\mathrm{H.S.}}=+\infty$.

(2) $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ *is implementable as* $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}^*)$.

We verify the following lemma before proving Proposition 5.7.

Lemma 5.8.

(1) *Let* $\iota : \mathbf{Z}^3 \to \mathbf{Z}^3$ *be defined by*

$$\iota(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) := (-\alpha_1, \alpha_2, -\alpha_3), \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Then

$$\left(\phi^+_{\alpha,s}, P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma\phi^+_{\beta,t}\right)_K = \sqrt{-1}\left(\sigma e_s, \left(A_{\iota(-\alpha)}A_\beta - A_{\iota(\alpha)}A_{-\beta}\right)e_t\right)_{\mathbf{C}^2}\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha+\beta).$$

(2) $A_{\iota(-\alpha)}A_\beta - A_{\iota(\alpha)}A_{-\beta}$ *equals*

$$2(d^+_\alpha d^+_\beta - d^-_\alpha d^-_\beta)(p_{\iota(-\alpha)}p_\beta - p_{\iota(\alpha)}p_{-\beta}) + 2(d^+_\alpha d^-_\beta - d^-_\alpha d^+_\beta)(p_{\iota(-\alpha)}p_{-\beta} - p_{\iota(\alpha)}p_\beta)$$

(3) *Put*

$$e_+ := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{-1}\\ 1\end{pmatrix}, \quad e_- := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}-\sqrt{-1}\\ 1\end{pmatrix}.$$

(In fact, $\{e_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\{+,-\}}$ *is a C.O.N.S. of* $\mathbf{C}^2$*.) Then*

$$\left(e_+, (p_{\iota(-\alpha)}p_\beta - p_{\iota(\alpha)}p_{-\beta})e_+\right)_{\mathbf{C}^2} = 2\left(\frac{\alpha_2}{|\alpha|} - \frac{\beta_2}{|\beta|}\right),$$

$$\left(e_-, (p_{\iota(-\alpha)}p_\beta - p_{\iota(\alpha)}p_{-\beta})e_+\right)_{\mathbf{C}^2} = 2\left\{\sqrt{-1}\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{|\alpha|} + \frac{\beta_1}{|\beta|}\right) - \left(\frac{\alpha_3}{|\alpha|} + \frac{\beta_3}{|\beta|}\right)\right\}$$

(4) *It holds that*

$$(d^+_\alpha d^+_\beta - d^-_\alpha d^-_\beta)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{m^2}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\beta)}} + \frac{|\alpha||\beta|}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\beta)}}\right),$$

$$(d^+_\alpha d^-_\beta - d^-_\alpha d^+_\beta)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{m^2}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\beta)}} - \frac{|\alpha||\beta|}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\beta)}}\right),$$

$$(d^+_\alpha d^+_\beta - d^-_\alpha d^-_\beta)(d^+_\alpha d^-_\beta - d^-_\alpha d^+_\beta) = m\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{E(\beta)}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)}}\right).$$

Proof. (1) can be shown with the help of $\sigma_2 p_\alpha = p_{\iota(\alpha)}\sigma_2$. (2) follows from $d^\sigma_{\iota(\alpha)} = d^\sigma_\alpha$. (3) is proved by using

$$\sigma_1 e_+ = \sqrt{-1}e_-, \quad \sigma_2 e_+ = -e_+, \quad \sigma_3 e_+ = -e_-$$
$$\sigma_1 e_- = \sqrt{-1}e_-, \quad \sigma_2 e_- = e_-, \quad \sigma_3 e_- = -e_+$$

and

$$p_{\iota(-\alpha)}p_\beta - p_{\iota(\alpha)}p_{-\beta}$$
$$= 2\left\{\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{|\alpha|} + \frac{\beta_1}{|\beta|}\right)\sigma_1 - \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{|\alpha|} - \frac{\beta_2}{|\beta|}\right)\sigma_2 + \left(\frac{\alpha_3}{|\alpha|} + \frac{\beta_3}{|\beta|}\right)\sigma_3\right\}.$$

(4) holds by direct computation. ∎

Proof of Proposition 5.7. Proposition 5.7 (2) is obvious. Thus we prove Proposition 5.7 (1). We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left(\phi^+_{\alpha,+}, P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma\phi^+_{\beta,+}\right)_K\right|^2 + \left|\left(\phi^+_{\alpha,-}, P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma\phi^+_{\beta,+}\right)_K\right|^2 \\ &= 8\ |\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha+\beta)|^2 \left\{\left(1-\frac{m^2}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\beta)}}\right) + \frac{\alpha_1\beta_1-\alpha_2\beta_2+\alpha_3\beta_3}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\beta)}}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}&|P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma|^2_{\text{H.S.}} \\ &= 16\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbf{Z}^3} |\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha+\beta)|^2 \left\{\left(1-\frac{m^2}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\beta)}}\right) + \frac{\alpha_1\beta_1-\alpha_2\beta_2+\alpha_3\beta_3}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\beta)}}\right\} \\ &= 16\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{Z}^3} |\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)|^2 \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}^3}\left\{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\gamma-\alpha)}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} m \\ \iota(\alpha)\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} m \\ \gamma-\alpha\end{pmatrix}\right)_{\mathbf{R}^4}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

(Remark $\iota(\alpha)$, $\gamma-\alpha\in\mathbf{R}^3$.) Now we have the following estimation:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}^3}\left\{1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{E(\alpha)E(\beta)}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} m \\ \iota(\alpha)\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} m \\ \gamma-\alpha\end{pmatrix}\right)_{\mathbf{R}^4}\right\} \\ &\qquad \geq \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}^3;\iota(\alpha)=\alpha}\left\{1-\frac{\left(\begin{pmatrix} m \\ \alpha\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} m \\ \gamma-\alpha\end{pmatrix}\right)_{\mathbf{R}^4}}{\left|\begin{pmatrix} m \\ \alpha\end{pmatrix}\right|_{\mathbf{R}^4}\left|\begin{pmatrix} m \\ \gamma-\alpha\end{pmatrix}\right|_{\mathbf{R}^4}}\right\} = +\infty.\end{aligned}$$

In fact, if $|\alpha|\to\infty$, then the angle of two vectors $(m,\alpha)\in\mathbf{R}^4$ and $(m,\gamma-\alpha)\in\mathbf{R}^4$ converges to π, that is,

$$\frac{\left(\begin{pmatrix} m \\ \alpha\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} m \\ \gamma-\alpha\end{pmatrix}\right)_{\mathbf{R}^4}}{\left|\begin{pmatrix} m \\ \alpha\end{pmatrix}\right|_{\mathbf{R}^4}\left|\begin{pmatrix} m \\ \gamma-\alpha\end{pmatrix}\right|_{\mathbf{R}^4}} \to -1.$$

Thus $P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma$ is not a Hilbert-Schmidt class operator. ∎

5.5 The case of massless ($m=0$) and $n=2$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^+_{\alpha,s}(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} A_\alpha e_s \\ A_{-\alpha}e_s\end{pmatrix}\exp(\sqrt{-1}(\alpha,x)), \\ \phi^-_{\alpha,s}(x) &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{-\alpha} e_s \\ -A_{\alpha}e_s\end{pmatrix}\exp(\sqrt{-1}(\alpha,x)), \\ \phi^+_{0,s}(x) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} e_s \\ e_s\end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi^-_{0,s}(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} e_s \\ -e_s\end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

where $x\in\mathbf{T}^3$, $\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}^3\backslash\{0\}$, $s\in\{+,-\}$ and

$$e_+ := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{-1} \\ 1\end{pmatrix}, \quad e_- := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}-\sqrt{-1} \\ 1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, we put

$$A_\alpha := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\alpha_j}{|\alpha|}\sigma_j\right)} \in \mathrm{Mat}(2, \mathbf{C}),$$

where σ_1, σ_2, σ_3 are the Pauli matrices. Then $\phi^\sigma_{\alpha,s}$ is an eigenvector for the Dirac operator $\mathfrak{h}$ with an eigenvalue $\sigma|n|$ and $\{\phi^\sigma_{\alpha,s} \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}^3,\ s, \sigma \in \{+,-\}\}$ is a C.O.N.S. of $K = \mathbf{C}^2 \otimes L^2(\mathbf{T}^3) \otimes \mathbf{C}^2$. In addition, A_α is a projection and satisfies $A_\alpha A_{-\alpha} = 0$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} P_+K &:= \overline{\mathrm{span}}\{\phi^+_{n,s},\ \phi^+_{0,s} \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\backslash\{0\}, s \in \{+,-\}\}, \\ P_-K &:= \overline{\mathrm{span}}\{\phi^-_{n,s},\ \phi^-_{0,s} \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\backslash\{0\}, s \in \{+,-\}\} \end{aligned}$$

and P_+ (resp. P_-) be a projection from K to P_+K (resp. P_-K). Then $\Gamma\phi^+_{\alpha,s} = \phi^-_{-\alpha,s}$, that is, $\Gamma P_+ = P_-\Gamma$.

Let $H := P_+K$ and $A := (\mathfrak{h} + cP_+ - cP_-)P_+$ for some $c > 1$. Then we can define the CH-space E from the pair (H, A).

Proposition 5.9.

(1) $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ *is not implementable as* $\mathcal{L}(\Gamma(H), \mathcal{E}^*)$, *i.e.,* $|P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma|_{\mathrm{H.S.}} = +\infty$.

(2) $\mathrm{ad}(X)$ *is implementable as* $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^*)$.

Proof. It suffices to show (1). We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{s,t\in\{+,-\}} \left|\left(\phi^+_{\alpha,s}, P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma\phi^+_{\beta,t}\right)_K\right|^2 \\ &\qquad = 16\ |\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha+\beta)|^2 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_1\beta_1 - \alpha_2\beta_2 + \alpha_3\beta_3}{|\alpha||\beta|}\right) \\ &\qquad = 16\ |\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha+\beta)|^2 \left\{1 + \left(\frac{\iota(\alpha)}{|\alpha|}, \frac{\beta}{|\beta|}\right)_{\mathbf{R}^3}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

for α, $\beta \in \mathbf{Z}^3\backslash\{0\}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbf{Z}^3} \sum_{s,t\in\{+,-\}} \left|\left(\phi^+_{\alpha,s}, P_+\pi(X)P_-\Gamma\phi^+_{\beta,t}\right)_K\right|^2 \\ &\quad \geq 16 \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{Z}^3} |\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)|^2 \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}^3;\alpha\neq 0,\alpha\neq\gamma,\iota(\alpha)=-\alpha} \left\{1 + \left(\frac{-\alpha}{|\alpha|}, \frac{\gamma-\alpha}{|\gamma-\alpha|}\right)_{\mathbf{R}^3}\right\}. \\ &\quad \geq 16 \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{Z}^3} |\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)|^2 \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}^3;\alpha\neq 0,\alpha\neq\gamma,\iota(\alpha)=-\alpha} \left\{1 + \frac{|\alpha|^2 - |\alpha||\gamma|}{|\alpha|(|\alpha|+|\gamma|)}\right\} \\ &\quad \geq 16 \sum_{\gamma\in\mathbf{Z}^3} |\widehat{\varphi}(\gamma)|^2 \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbf{Z}^3;|\alpha|>|\gamma|,\iota(\alpha)=-\alpha} \frac{2|\alpha|^2}{|\alpha|(|\alpha|+|\alpha|)} \\ &\quad = +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

∎

References

[1] H. Araki : *Bogoliubov automorphisms and Fock representations of canonical anticommutation relations*, Operator algebras and mathematical physics, 23–141, Contemp. Math., 62, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987.

[2] A. Carey and J. Palmer : *Infinite complex spin groups*, J. Funct. Anal. 83 (1989), no. 1, 1–43.

[3] A. Carey and S. Ruijsenaars : *On fermion gauge groups, current algebras and Kac-Moody algebras*, Acta Appl. Math. 10 (1987), no. 1, 1–86.

[4] T. Hida, N. Obata, and K. Saitô : *Infinite-dimensional rotations and Laplacians in terms of white noise calculus*, Nagoya Math. J. 128 (1992), 65–93.

[5] U. C. Ji and N. Obata : *A role of Bargmann-Segal spaces in characterization and expansion of operators on Fock space*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 56 (2004), no. 2, 311–338.

[6] P. Kristensen, L. Mejlbo, E. Poulsen : *Tempered distributions in infinitely many dimensions. I. Canonical field operators*, Commun. Math. Phys. 1 1965 175–214.

[7] P. Kristensen, L. Mejlbo, E. Poulsen : *Tempered distributions in infinitely many dimensions. II. Displacement operators*, Math. Scand. 14. 1964 129–150.

[8] P. Kristensen, L. Mejlbo, E. Poulsen : *Tempered distributions in infinitely many dimensions. III. Linear Transformations of field operators*, Commun. Math. Phys. 6 1967 29–48.

[9] H.-H. Kuo : *White noise distribution theory*, CRC Press, 1996.

[10] T. Matsui and Y. Shimada : *On quasifree representations of infinite dimensional symplectic group*, J. Funct. Anal. 215 (2004), no. 1, 67–102.

[11] N. Obata : *White noise calculus and Fock space*, Lecture Notes in Math, 1577. Springer-Verlag, 1994.

[12] N. Obata : *Rotation-invariant operators on white noise functionals*, Math. Z. 210 (1992), no. 1, 69–89.

[13] S. Ruijsenaars : *Index formulas for generalized Wiener-Hopf operators and boson-fermion correspondence in 2N dimensions.* Comm. Math. Phys. 124 (1989), no. 4, 553–593.

[14] Y. Shimada : *On irreducibility of the energy representation of the gauge group and the white noise distribution theory*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. 8 (2005), no. 2, 153–177.

[15] Y. Shimada : *White noise distribution theory for the Fermion system*, preprint.